SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY CHANCERY DIVISION - ATLANTIC COUNTY DOCKET NO. F-10209-08

BANK OF NEW YORK AS TRUSTEE FOR THE CERTIFICATE HOLDERS CWABS, INC. ASSET-BACKED CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AB3

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

VICTOR and ENOABASI UKPE

Defendant(s).

VICTOR and ENOABASI UKPE

Counterclaimants and Third Party Plaintiffs,

vs.

BANK OF NEW YORK AS TRUSTEE FOR THE CERTIFICATE HOLDERS CWABS, INC. ASSET-BACKED CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AB3

Defendants on the Counterclaim,

and

AMERICA'S WHOLESALE LENDER; COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC.;

MORGAN FUNDING CORPORATION,

ROBERT CHILDERS; COUNTRYWIDE

HOME LOANS SERVICING LP,

PHELAN, HALLINAN & SCHMIEG,

P.C.,

Third Party Defendants

April 7, 2010 William Hultman

```
1
                   Oral sworn video/telephone conference
     deposition of WILLIAM HULTMAN, taken in the law office
     of Morgan, Lewis, Bockius, 502 Carnegie Center,
 2
     Princeton, New Jersey, before Michelle M. Downes, a
 3
     Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public of the
     State of New Jersey, commencing at 10:55 a.m. on the
     above date, there being present:
 4
 5
                LAW OFFICES OF JAMES F. VILLERE, JR.
                     JAMES F. VILLERE, JR., ESQUIRE
                     MARK J. MALONE, ESQUIRE
 6
                Attorneys for Ukpes as Defendants and
 7
                Third Party Plaintiffs
 8
                SOUTH JERSEY LEGAL SERVICES
                     ABIGAIL SULLIVAN, ESQUIRE
                BY:
 9
                Attorneys for Ukpes as Defendants and
                Third Party Plaintiffs
10
     Via video conference in Washington DC:
11
                GOODWIN PROCTER, LLP
                     SABRINA M. ROSE-SMITH, ESQUIRE
12
                Attorneys for Plaintiffs Bank of New York
                as Trustee for the Certificate Holders
                CWABS, Inc. Asset-Backed Certificates,
13
                Series 2005-AB3 and Countrywide as Third
14
                Party Defendants
15
                MORGAN, LEWIS, BOCKIUS, LLP
                     ROBERT M. BROCHIN, ESQUIRE
                BY:
16
                Attorneys for MERS
17
    Via telephone:
18
                WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER
19
                BY:
                     DANIEL S. BERNHEIM, ESQUIRE
20
                Attorneys for Third Party Defendant
21
22
     Also present:
23
                Judy Romano, Esquire (via telephone)
24
                John Murphy, Esquire (via video)
25
                Daniel E. Orr, Esquire
```

				Page 4
1	Hultman-8	Letter dated 4/30/00	6	
2	Hultman-9	MERS Terms and Conditions	6	
3	Hultman-10	MERS Procedures Manual	6	
4	Hultman-11	MERS Rules of Membership	6	
5	Hultman-12	Letter dated 1/8/99	6	
6	Hultman-13	Delaware Secretary of State		
7		Certification	6	
8	Hultman-14	Certificate of Incorporation		
9		effective 1/1/99	6	
10	Hultman-15	MERS Rules of Membership	6	
11	Hultman-16	MERS Procedure Manual	7	
12	Hultman-17	MERS Bylaws dated 10/17/95	7	
13	Hultman-18	MERS Bylaws dated 1/1/95	7	
14	Hultman-19	Letter dated 2/18/10	7	
15	Hultman-20	Subpoena dated 2/16/10	7	
16	Hultman-21	Letter dated 3/17/10	7	
17	Hultman-22	PHS 10-11, Referral Account		
18		Detail Report	7	
19	Hultman-23	PHS 475-476, E-mail exchange	7	
20	Hultman-24	Assignment dated 3/14/08	7	
21	Hultman-25	Complaint with FDCPA Notice		
22		dated 3/13/08	7	
23	Hultman-26	MERS Policy Bulletin dated		
24		2/17/10	7	
25	Hultman-27	MIN Milestones	7	

					Page 5
1	Hultman-28	MERS Corporate	e Resolution		
2		Application Fo	orm	7	
3	Hultman-29	Stipulation		7	
4	Hultman-30	Agenda dated 4	1/9/98	37	
5	Hultman-31	MERS Minutes o	dated 4/9/98	54	
6	Hultman-32	MERS Corporate	e Resolution	55	
7					
8					
9		REQUE	ESTS		
10					
11		Line	Page		
12		18	9		
13		5	21		
14		16	29		
15		15	40		
16		21	45		
17		9	56		
18		4	57		
19		13	83		
20		23	100		
21		21	106		
22		15	140		
23					
24					
25					
I					

1 (Hultman-1, MIN Summary, was marked for identification; Hultman-2, MIN Milestones, 2 was marked for identification; Hultman-3, 3 Signing Authority Agreement, was marked for 4 identification; Hultman-4, Corporate 5 Resolution, was marked for identification; Hultman-5, Bank of New York (Western Trust) 7 Membership application, was marked for 8 identification; Hultman-6, Countrywide Home 9 Loans Membership application, was marked for 10 11 identification; Hultman-7, Letter dated 12 11/1/09, was marked for identification; 13 Hultman-8, Letter dated 4/30/00 was marked for identification; Hultman-9, MERS Terms and 14 Conditions, was marked for identification; 15 Hultman-10, MERS Procedures Manual, was 16 marked for identification; Hultman-11, MERS 17 Rules of Membership, was marked for 18 19 identification; Hultman-12, Letter dated 1/8/99, was marked for identification; 20 Hultman-13, Delaware Secretary of State 21 22 Certification, was marked for identification; 23 Hultman-14, Certificate of Incorporation 24 effective 1/1/99, was marked for 25 identification; Hultman-15, MERS Rules of

1	Membership, was marked for identification;
2	Hultman-16, MERS Procedure Manual, was marked
3	for identification; Hultman-17, MERS Bylaws
4	dated 10/17/95, was marked for
5	identification; Hultman-18, MERS Bylaws dated
6	1/1/95, was marked for identification;
7	Hultman-19, Letter dated 2/18/10, was marked
8	for identification; Hultman-20, Subpoena
9	dated 2/16/10, was marked for identification;
10	Hultman-21, Letter dated 3/17/10, was marked
11	for identification; Hultman-22, PHS 10-11,
12	Referral Account Detail Report, was marked
13	for identification; Hultman-23, PHS 475-476,
14	E-mail exchange, was marked for
15	identification; Hultman-24, Assignment dated
16	3/14/08, was marked for identification;
17	Hultman-25, Complaint with FDCPA Notice dated
18	3/13/08, was marked for identification;
19	Hultman-26, MERS Policy Bulletin dated
20	2/17/10, was marked for identification;
21	Hultman-27, MIN Milestones, was marked for
22	identification; Hultman-28, MERS Corporate
23	Resolution Application Form, was marked for
24	identification; Hultman-29, Stipulation, was
25	marked for identification.)

- 1 (The following is a conference with
- Judge Todd:)
- THE COURT: Now, this is Judge Todd,
- 4 I'm sitting in my courtroom, the attorneys are
- 5 participating by telephone. I understand there's a
- 6 court reporter there. Some of the attorneys are --
- 7 let me ask the attorneys who are participating to
- 8 enter their appearances and to note preliminarily the
- 9 caption and the docket number of the case.
- 10 MR. MALONE: Okay. I will start, Your
- 11 Honor. This is Mark Malone for the Ukpe defendants.
- 12 The caption of the case is Bank of New York as Trustee
- 13 for the Certificate Holders CWABS, Inc. Asset-Backed
- 14 Certificate Series 2005-AB3 versus Victor and Enoabasi
- 15 Ukpe, docket number F-10209-08. And appearing with me
- 16 this morning are Abigail Sullivan of South Jersey
- 17 Legal Services and James Villere.
- 18 MR. ORR: Your Honor, this is Daniel
- 19 Orr with Morgan, Lewis, Bockius representing nonparty
- 20 MERS. They're the witness who is appearing today.
- 21 With me is Robert Brochin who is in our DC office with
- 22 the witness.
- THE COURT: Anybody else?
- 24 MR. BERNHEIM: This is Dan Bernheim
- 25 from Wilentz Goldman representing the firm Phelan,

- 1 Hallinan and Schmieg. I am participating by telephone
- 2 conference.
- 3 MS. ROSE-SMITH: Your Honor, this is
- 4 Sabrina Rose-Smith, I'm here on behalf of Bank of New
- 5 York and third parties Countrywide Home Loans
- 6 Servicing and Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., and I am
- 7 in Washington DC with the witness.
- 8 MR. BERNHEIM: In addition, Your Honor,
- 9 Judy Romano who is in-house counsel for the law firm
- 10 is also participating by telephone conference.
- 11 MR. MURPHY: John Murphy, I'm also in
- 12 Washington DC and I'm in-house counsel for MERS.
- THE COURT: Is that everyone?
- 14 MR. MALONE: Mr. Brochin.
- 15 MR. ORR: I entered an appearance for
- 16 Mr. Brochin.
- 17 THE COURT: Now, whose pro hac vice
- 18 admission is being sought? For what litigant party?
- MR. ORR: Your Honor, we've sought
- 20 Mr. Brochin's pro hac vice admission on an expedited
- 21 basis so defendants who served a subpoena on MERS, and
- 22 they requested that we seek Mr. Brochin's pro hac vice
- 23 admission since he would be appearing remotely from
- 24 Washington DC with the witness. There has been no
- 25 objection to the application. Under normal

- 1 circumstances, Your Honor, I would defend the
- 2 deposition, however, I am recovering from spine
- 3 surgery and I cannot sit for an extended period of
- 4 time.
- 5 THE COURT: Who is that that was just
- 6 speaking?
- 7 MR. ORR: This is Daniel Orr, Your
- 8 Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: So Mr. Brochin's going to
- 10 be admitted pro hac to represent the person, to
- 11 represent MERS in conjunction with the deposition that
- is being conducted this morning?
- MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor. We're
- 14 actually not -- since MERS is not actually a party,
- and the witness or the deposition is being conducted
- out of state, we're not actually sure whether or not a
- 17 pro hac admission is required under the rules.
- 18 However, defendants have suggested that it is, and
- 19 since there was no opposition to the application, you
- 20 know, we thought it would be prudent to ask the
- 21 Court's permission.
- 22 THE COURT: And does the application
- 23 that's pending recite consistent with the pro hac vice
- 24 rule, either a long-standing relationship with the
- 25 client or expertise in the area of the law or

- 1 something like that?
- 2 MR. ORR: Yes, Your Honor, Mr. Brochin
- 3 has a long-standing attorney/client relationship with
- 4 MERS. He has represented MERS in over 70 proceedings.
- 5 THE COURT: And the witness is actually
- 6 appearing in what jurisdiction?
- 7 MR. ORR: The witness is appearing
- 8 remotely by video teleconference from Washington DC,
- 9 Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: That's where Mr. Brochin is
- 11 also?
- MR. ORR: Yes.
- 13 (Conference with Judge Todd ends.)
- 14 WILLIAM HULTMAN,
- having been duly sworn, was examined
- and testified as follows:
- 17 BY MR. MALONE:
- 18 Q. Mr. Hultman, my name is Mark Malone.
- With me are co-counsel, we represent the Ukpe
- 20 defendants, Abigail Sullivan of South Jersey Legal
- 21 Services and James Villere. Good morning.
- A. Good morning.
- 23 Q. I understand you have been deposed
- 24 previously, is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Approximately in the last three years,
- 2 how many times have you been deposed?
- 3 A. Four times.
- 4 Q. Do you remember the names of those
- 5 cases?
- A. I do not.
- 7 Q. Okay. Briefly, would you describe for
- 8 us your legal education?
- 9 A. I went to the State University of New
- 10 York Law School at Buffalo from 1977 -- or graduated
- 11 in 1977.
- 12 Q. Are you a member of any bar currently?
- 13 A. I'm a member of the New York Bar.
- 14 Q. And would you describe for us your
- employment history after graduating from law school?
- 16 A. I worked for a firm LaTona and
- 17 Associates, which was a general practice firm in
- Buffalo, New York for two years. I worked as a staff
- 19 attorney for the Forest Oil Corporation at Bradford,
- 20 Pennsylvania for two years. I worked for the law firm
- of Moot and Sprague for a period of five years, first
- 22 as an associate and then as a partner. I was then an
- 23 Administrative Vice President of Empire of America
- 24 Federal Savings Bank and later I became the treasurer
- of that institution. I then worked for the FDIC as a

- 1 managing agent for the Resolution Trust Corporation
- 2 for about a period of 17 months. I worked for three
- 3 Midlantic Banks as a vice president for a period of
- 4 about two and a half years. I then worked for Barnett
- 5 Bank in Jacksonville, Florida for a period of four
- 6 years after that, and I worked at MERS for the last
- 7 14 years -- or 12 years, excuse me.
- 8 Q. When did you first start working at
- 9 MERS?
- 10 A. February of 1998.
- 11 Q. And when I say MERS, I'm going to use
- 12 that as an abbreviation for Mortgage Electronic
- Registration Systems, Inc., is that understood?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And is that an appropriate shorthand
- for describing your employer?
- 17 A. My employer is not MERS. If you're
- 18 using Mortgage Electronic Registration System, Inc. --
- 19 Q. Who is your employer?
- 20 A. Merscorp, Inc.
- 21 Q. Do you have any kind of relationship
- 22 with Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. What is that relationship?
- 25 A. I'm the secretary and treasurer.

- 1 Q. And do you have any kind of
- 2 relationship with MERS? What is your relationship
- 3 with Merscorp, Inc.?
- 4 A. I'm senior vice president and corporate
- 5 division manager, and I also have -- I'm also the
- 6 secretary and treasurer of that corporation.
- 7 Q. Todays subpoena is directed towards
- 8 Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. You
- 9 understand that?
- 10 A. I do.
- 11 Q. And do you understand that the answers
- 12 you're giving will bind Mortgage Electronic
- 13 Registration Systems, Inc.?
- 14 A. I do.
- MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 16 question.
- 17 BY MR. MALONE:
- 18 Q. And further, do you understand you're
- 19 testifying under oath today?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And that your answers will be used or
- 22 may be used as evidence in a court proceeding in New
- 23 Jersey. Do you understand that?
- MR. BROCHIN: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: If that's a question,

- 1 yes.
- 2 BY MR. MALONE:
- 3 Q. That was a question. And if at any
- 4 time you don't understand my question, please just
- 5 tell me and I'll try and rephrase it. I'd like to
- 6 start by going through the documents that have been
- 7 premarked that were sent to us by your counsel in
- 8 response to a subpoena and -- in response to a
- 9 subpoena. The first set of documents we received, we
- 10 have marked as Hultman Exhibit 1, and they are MERS --
- 11 they have been Bates stamped MERS 1 -- Hultman Exhibit
- 12 1 has been marked as MERS 1. Do you have that
- 13 available?
- MR. BROCHIN: Okay. Again, we're not
- 15 going to have it with the Hultman-1. What we have is
- Bates stamp document MERS/Ukpe 1, it's a letter dated
- 17 February 18th and it's Bates stamped 1 through 6.
- MR. MALONE: That's not the one, Bobby.
- 19 I'm talking about MERS, MERS 1, not MERS/Ukpe.
- 20 There's two distinct sets of documents that was
- 21 explained -- as was explained in both sets of letters
- that were sent to you yesterday.
- MR. BROCHIN: Yeah, good, but all I'm
- looking at are the documents that apparently
- 25 Ms. Sullivan provided to Dan Orr that were scanned in

- and sent to us. That's what I'm looking at.
- MR. MALONE: Okay. And he --
- 3 Ms. Sullivan gave Dan a set of documents marked MERS 1
- 4 through MERS 432. If you could locate that set of
- 5 documents, I'd like to just identify those, the
- 6 documents that were provided by MERS.
- 7 MR. BROCHIN: I got the letters, I
- 8 don't have those. I say we go off the record for a
- 9 second.
- 10 (Discussion off the record.)
- MR. MALONE: Maybe we can start at
- 12 least in terms of the identification of exhibits. I
- can tell you what the court reporter has marked and
- you can note on your copies the court reporter
- 15 exhibit.
- MR. BROCHIN: What I'm going to do is
- 17 when you refer to an exhibit as Hultman X, I'm going
- 18 to write that down on this exhibit itself and keep a
- 19 copy.
- 20 MR. MALONE: Correct. And if you could
- 21 get someone to print out from your office the letter
- 22 that was sent to you.
- 23 MR. BROCHIN: I have the letter.
- MR. MALONE: Okay. That has the
- 25 identical information. So you can just double check

- 1 it against that.
- MR. BROCHIN: Yeah, I got that.
- 3 MR. MALONE: Okay.
- 4 BY MR. MALONE:
- 5 Q. Starting with the MERS/Ukpe exhibits
- 6 which are exhibits that we have marked for the
- deposition, MERS/Ukpe 1, a single page has been marked
- 8 as Hultman-19. And for the record, it's a
- 9 February 18th letter from Abigail Sullivan to Sharon
- 10 M. Horstkamp, Vice President and General Counsel
- 11 Merscorp.
- 12 MR. BROCHIN: So the witness now has
- 13 that document in front of him.
- 14 BY MR. MALONE:
- 15 Q. All right. Mr. Hultman, you have
- 16 MERS -- I'm sorry, you have Hultman Exhibit 19 in
- 17 front of you. Have you seen that letter before today?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Okay. Moving on to Hultman Exhibit 20,
- 20 Bates stamp MERS/Ukpe 002 through 006.
- 21 A. I have it.
- MR. BROCHIN: Marking that as Hultman
- 23 exhibit number?
- MR. MALONE: 20. 20.
- THE WITNESS: Got it.

- 1 BY MR. MALONE:
- Q. Okay. Have you seen Hultman Exhibit 20
- 3 prior to today?
- A. Yes, I have.
- 5 Q. And would you tell us what you did in 6 response to efforts to comply with Hultman Exhibit 20,
- 7 a subpoena? What you did to comply.
- 8 A. I directed members of my -- the people
- 9 that work for me in the law department to produce the
- 10 relevant documents.
- 11 Q. Okay. Mr. Hultman, regarding your
- 12 attention to paragraph one of the subpoena in Hultman
- Exhibit 20, can you tell us what documents, if any,
- were located in response to the request in paragraph
- one which is found on Bates stamped MERS/Ukpe 003?
- 16 A. On paragraph one you mean?
- 17 O. Yes.
- 18 A. We found a copy of the -- of what we
- 19 would call a signing agreement between MERS -- and I'm
- 20 using MERS to mean Mortgage Electronic Registration
- 21 Systems, Inc., the law firm of Phelan, Hallinan and
- 22 Schmieg and Countrywide Home Loans, LLP. We have not
- found an executed copy of the other, the other
- 24 agreement referenced in that paragraph. We did
- 25 have -- we do have an unsigned copy of it, but not a

- 1 signed copy.
- 2 Q. And I'm sorry, what document do you
- 3 have an unsigned copy of referenced in paragraph one?
- 4 A. The Signing Authority Agreement between
- 5 MERS, Phelan, Hallinan and Schmieg and Countrywide
- 6 Home Loans, PC.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. The PC firm.
- 9 Q. And for the record, paragraph one does
- 10 not mention a signing agreement. But you have, you
- 11 have found and located a signing agreement in response
- to your search for paragraph one documents?
- 13 A. That's the only agreement that we have.
- 14 There's a -- we have an unsigned work copy of the
- 15 agreement and we have a signed -- an image of the
- signed copy between MERS, Phelan Hallinan and Schmieg,
- 17 and Countrywide Home Loans.
- 18 MR. MALONE: We have not received a
- 19 copy of that unsigned work copy. We request a copy of
- that, Mr. Brochin, and we can send a follow-up letter
- 21 to that effect.
- MR. BROCHIN: We'll send you a copy.
- 23 BY MR. MALONE:
- Q. All right. Paragraph one also asks for
- 25 the application of the law firm to have the attorneys

- 1 get appointed. Have you located any application by
- 2 the law firm for its attorneys to be appointed as MERS
- 3 officers?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Generally, in your experience, do MERS
- 6 officers who are what MERS would call certifying
- 7 officers make application to get appointed as
- 8 officers?
- 9 A. There is a -- for MERS members, there
- is a form that is filled out by the member, which
- 11 triggers the production of a Corporate Resolution.
- 12 Q. And are the -- is the law firm Phelan,
- 13 Hallinan and Schmieg a MERS member?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. And can you tell us what the
- application process was that was followed for a
- 17 nonMERS member Phelan, Hallinan and Schmieg?
- 18 A. There isn't an application process.
- 19 Q. Then can you describe for us what
- 20 process was followed resulting in members of Phelan,
- 21 Hallinan and Schmieg entering into a signing agreement
- 22 with MERS by which certain attorneys in the Phelan,
- 23 Hallinan and Schmieg firm were made officers of MERS?
- 24 A. Countrywide, representatives of
- 25 Countrywide requested by e-mail that we enter into a

- 1 signing agreement between Countrywide, MERS, and that
- 2 law firm. They supplied us with a list of the persons
- 3 who would be appointed MERS officers in that
- 4 correspondence.
- 5 MR. MALONE: We have not received a
- 6 copy of that e-mail. We request that we be provided
- 7 with that, that piece of communication.
- 8 BY MR. MALONE:
- 9 Q. To your knowledge, is that e-mail the
- 10 first documentation leading up to -- chronologically
- 11 the first documentation leading up to the appointment
- of Francis Hallinan as a MERS assistant secretary and
- 13 vice president?
- 14 A. I believe so, yes.
- 15 Q. Were there any conversations, to your
- knowledge, preceding the e-mail being sent?
- 17 A. I don't know.
- 18 Q. Were you involved in the process of a
- 19 nonMERS member, Mr. Francis Hallinan, becoming
- 20 appointed as a MERS officer, that is an assistant
- 21 secretary and vice president?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. In what way were you involved in the
- 24 process?
- 25 A. I executed the signing agreement and

- 1 executed the resolution.
- 2 Q. Do you know, do you know when the
- 3 e-mail was dated requesting from Countrywide that
- 4 Mr. Hallinan and other members of his firm be made
- 5 MERS officers?
- 6 A. I don't have that date in my head.
- 7 Q. Approximately, how much was it before
- 8 you executed the signing agreement? Agreement For
- 9 Signing Authority?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 O. Was the case of the Phelan firm
- 12 attorneys becoming MERS officers when they were not
- MERS members the first time, to your knowledge, that,
- that had happened, that is employees of nonMERS
- 15 members were made officers of MERS?
- 16 A. I'm not sure I understand your
- 17 question.
- 18 Q. Okay. Let's drop back then. At one
- 19 point in time, did MERS have a policy that only MERS
- 20 members could nominate their employees to be MERS
- 21 officers?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. When did that policy change?
- 24 A. I don't have an exact recollection of
- 25 the time frame, but it was early in the process in the

- 1 first couple years.
- 2 Q. And could you tell us what you mean by
- 3 early in the process in the first couple of years?
- A. Sometime before 2000.
- 5 Q. Can you tell us how the change came
- 6 about?
- 7 A. Members requested that we consider it
- 8 and we decided to do it.
- 9 Q. Was there, these requests for members,
- was there some kind of correspondence from the members
- 11 requesting it?
- 12 A. I don't recall.
- 13 Q. To your knowledge, is there any
- documentary record of the members requesting this
- change in the early years, sometime prior to 2000?
- 16 A. I did not make an examination and I do
- 17 not recall.
- 18 Q. Who evaluated the request by the
- members that nonMERS members be able to have their
- 20 employees nominated and appointed as officers of the
- 21 corporation?
- 22 A. The officers of the corporation.
- Q. And who are they at the time?
- 24 A. I believe at the time, the president
- was R.K. Arnold, the vice president was Daniel

- 1 McLaughlin, another vice president Carson Mullen, and
- 2 myself as secretary and treasurer.
- 3 Q. What was the process that the four of
- 4 you undertook leading up to a decision to change MERS'
- 5 policy so that employees of nonmembers of MERS could
- 6 become corporate officers?
- 7 A. I don't have any recollection of those
- 8 conversations right now.
- 9 Q. Since you received the subpoena, have
- 10 you talked to any other person about those early days
- 11 how this change came about?
- 12 A. I did not.
- 13 Q. The matter of changing MERS' policy so
- 14 that nonMERS member's employees could become officers
- of the corporation, was that matter presented to the
- 16 MERS Board of Directors?
- 17 A. I don't recall.
- 18 Q. Do you have any knowledge of any
- 19 resolution by the MERS Board of Directors authorizing
- a change in MERS policy such that employees of nonMERS
- 21 members could become officers of the corporation?
- 22 A. There was a resolution that authorized
- 23 me to appoint officers of MERS that was passed by the
- 24 board of directors of that company.
- Q. What company was that, that passed that

- 1 resolution?
- A. MERS.
- 3 Q. When was that resolution passed?
- 4 A. April of 1998.
- 5 Q. We have not received a copy of that
- 6 resolution and we'd like to receive it for today's
- 7 deposition.
- 8 MR. BROCHIN: I believe that's one of
- 9 the documents that were sent to you last night.
- 10 MR. MALONE: I do not believe so. We
- 11 went through the documents last night. The documents
- 12 you sent us last night.
- 13 MR. BROCHIN: John is indicating he
- sent it to Ms. Sullivan last night and he's going
- 15 to --
- MR. MURPHY: At 6:26 p.m.
- 17 MR. MALONE: What is the identity of
- 18 the document, John? How is it captioned?
- MR. MURPHY: MERS board meeting
- 20 minutes.
- MR. MALONE: No, we have not received
- 22 any such document. You may have sent it, but we
- 23 haven't -- we haven't --
- 24 MS. SULLIVAN: It's going to be tricky
- 25 but I can try.

```
1 MR. MALONE: Okay. Does Dan have a
```

- 2 copy on this end? It may have been received last
- 3 night, but none of us have it.
- 4 MR. BROCHIN: I believe John sent it
- 5 directly to Ms. Sullivan.
- 6 MR. MALONE: Ms. Sullivan unfortunately
- 7 left her office at 5 o'clock, 6 o'clock, and so if it
- 8 was sent directly to her, the communication is still
- 9 sitting in her office. So let me --
- 10 MR. BROCHIN: It was sent by e-mail. I
- don't know if you have the ability to pick them up
- outside of your office, but it was sent by e-mail.
- 13 MR. MALONE: Let me ask first because
- 14 that indicates to me we don't have a complete set of
- 15 the MERS production. The last documents we received
- from Mr. Murphy were the MERS bylaws. That's the last
- 17 document. I'm sorry, yeah, MERS bylaws. Yeah, MERS
- bylaws dated January 1, 1995. MERS 421 through MERS
- 19 432 is what we marked them. Correct? So Mr. Murphy,
- 20 if we could, Bobby, just to clarify the record, after
- 21 you sent the MERS bylaws dated January 1st, 1995 last
- 22 night you sent some additional documents?
- 23 MR. MURPHY: I sent the 1999 bylaws via
- e-mail to Ms. Sullivan at 3:45 p.m.
- 25 MS. SULLIVAN: We have that.

- 1 MR. MALONE: This is mismarked, I
- 2 think.
- 3 MR. VILLERE: I think it is, too.
- 4 MR. MALONE: And you also sent -- just
- one moment, please. The last document we had received
- 6 and actually been able to access or did access was
- 7 around 4:30 in the afternoon and it is the MERS bylaws
- 8 dated January 1, 1999. So John, can you tell us what
- 9 has been sent since then?
- 10 MR. MURPHY: The only other document
- 11 that was sent was the MERS board meeting minutes and I
- 12 sent that at 6:26 p.m. to Ms. Sullivan.
- MR. MALONE: And the date of those
- 14 minutes? I'm sorry?
- MR. MURPHY: I'm looking it up.
- 16 April 9, 1998.
- 17 MR. BROCHIN: But you sent the
- 18 certified resolution as well?
- MR. MURPHY: Yes.
- 20 MR. BROCHIN: That was attached to the
- 21 minutes?
- MR. MALONE: How many pages was the
- 23 document, John, if you know?
- MR. MURPHY: Six.
- MR. MALONE: Okay. Was a copy sent to

- 1 Mr. Orr?
- 2 MR. MURPHY: No.
- 3 MR. BROCHIN: No.
- 4 MR. MALONE: Can a copy be sent to
- 5 Mr. Orr now?
- 6 MR. BROCHIN: We can resend it to you
- 7 right now.
- 8 MR. MALONE: We don't have the ability
- 9 to print it out, that's the problem. We're in your
- 10 office in Princeton.
- MR. BROCHIN: Okay. Yes, we can send
- one to Mr. Orr.
- 13 MR. MALONE: Could one be sent to
- Mr. Orr with the request that he run it off and bring
- it down to us, or someone bring it down to us?
- MR. BROCHIN: Yes.
- 17 (Discussion off the record.)
- 18 MR. MALONE: While we're waiting for
- 19 that document, I do have some questions that I can
- 20 continue with.
- MR. BROCHIN: Yes, please, I think that
- 22 would be helpful.
- 23 BY MR. MALONE:
- Q. Okay. The meeting of the board of
- 25 April 9, 1998, Mr. Hultman, did you attend that

- 1 meeting?
- 2 A. I did.
- 3 Q. And what was the board that met? What
- 4 was the name of the corporation?
- 5 A. It was Mortgage Electronic Registration
- 6 Systems, Inc.
- 7 Q. We've been provided some incorporation
- 8 documents reflecting that Mortgage Electronic
- 9 Registration Systems, Inc. was incorporated, we have
- 10 Delaware Secretary of State Certificate of
- 11 Incorporation dated December 30, 1998, effective
- January 1, 1999. So are there earlier Articles of
- 13 Incorporation than the ones that have been provided to
- 14 us?
- 15 A. Yes.
- MR. MALONE: We would ask that those
- 17 earlier articles be provided also then since --
- 18 BY MR. MALONE:
- 19 Q. What is the relationship between the
- 20 earlier company that had a meeting of the board on
- 21 April 9, 1998 and the company that was incorporated in
- Delaware on December 30, 1998, with an effective date
- of incorporation of January 1, 1999?
- 24 A. The corporation that was incorporated
- at 1999 is a wholly owned subsidiary of Merscorp, Inc.

- 1 Prior to 1999, the name of that corporation was
- 2 Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. and
- prior to that, there was a predecessor corporation
- 4 which was a membership corporation which also had the
- 5 name Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.
- 6 Q. And can you tell us if anything the
- 7 predecessor board did on April 9, 1998, was binding on
- 8 the new corporation incorporated on -- incorporated
- 9 effective January 1, 1999?
- 10 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 11 question.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Basically, the subsidiary
- assumed all of the authority relating to holding title
- 14 to the mortgage that the original corporation had.
- 15 BY MR. MALONE:
- 16 Q. Other than assuming --
- 17 MR. MALONE: I'm sorry, I'll have the
- 18 court reporter -- I'll ask the court reporter to read
- 19 that answer back.
- 20 (The stenographer read back the
- 21 last answer.)
- 22 BY MR. MALONE:
- 23 Q. Other than assuming something to do
- 24 with the mortgage the original corporation had, did
- anything the prior corporation did bind the new

- 1 corporation when it came into existence effective
- 2 January 1, 1999?
- A. Most of the -- again, the authority
- 4 that related to holding title to the mortgage was
- 5 adopted by the new corporation.
- 6 Q. And I'm sorry, maybe you can explain
- 7 that a little bit. I'm not sure what's meant by the
- 8 authority relating to the holding of a mortgage.
- 9 A. The subsidiary is a single purpose
- 10 corporation that was incorporated for the sole purpose
- of holding title to the mortgage. The other
- operations of the old corporation remained with the
- parent corporation, the operation of the registry and
- 14 the other operational issues associated with the MERS
- 15 process.
- 16 Q. And when you say holding title to the
- mortgage, can you explain what you mean by that
- 18 phrase?
- 19 A. One of the things that the primary duty
- of the subsidiary is to act as mortgagee when
- 21 requested by the borrower and our members.
- 22 Q. If I follow your answer, and forgive
- 23 me, I'm not a corporate lawyer, so I'm having some
- 24 difficulty. The subsidiary, I understand it, took
- over, its sole purpose became holding title to

- 1 mortgages?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Did it have any other responsibilities?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Can you explain to us why that change
- 6 was made?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Please do.
- 9 A. As a requirement for mortgages that
- were securing loans or promissory notes that were sold
- 11 to securitize trust, the rating agencies would only
- 12 allow mortgages MERS -- well let me step back. They
- required that a bankruptcy remote single purpose
- 14 entity be created in order for transactions holding
- loans secured by MERS, by mortgages MERS served as
- mortgagee to be in those pools and receive a rating,
- an investment grade rating without any changes to the
- 18 credit enhancement. They required that to be a
- 19 bankruptcy remote single purpose subsidiary of MERS,
- of Merscorp.
- 21 Q. And is MERS, Inc. the entity that came
- into existence on January 1, 1999, a bankruptcy remote
- 23 vehicle?
- A. Merscorp, Inc., which is the parent
- corporation, is a Delaware stock corporation of

- 1 Mortgage Electronic, which is the sole shareholder in
- 2 Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., the
- 3 bankruptcy remote subsidiary.
- 4 Q. And my question simply is, is MERS,
- 5 your MERS, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems,
- 6 Inc., is it a bankruptcy remote vehicle?
- 7 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 8 question.
- 9 THE WITNESS: It falls within what's
- 10 generally considered to be that.
- 11 BY MR. MALONE:
- 12 Q. And for the record, could you briefly
- explain to us, based on your knowledge, what is meant
- 14 by the phrase bankruptcy remote?
- 15 A. There are requirements that required,
- under rating agency criteria, that set out the things
- that are required in order to be considered that type
- of entity, which are spelled out in the charter and
- among them is the requirement that there be an
- 20 independent director and that, that independent
- 21 director in that subsidiary, if it ever was in a
- 22 position that it needed to file for protection of the
- 23 bankruptcy laws, that independent director would have
- 24 to vote in favor of that before that could happen.
- 25 Q. So if I follow you correctly, Mortgage

- 1 Electronic Registration, Inc., the company that came
- 2 into existence on January 1, 1999, is a separate and
- distinct legal entity than the prior company, is that
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. That's correct. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Is the new company -- was the new
- 7 company in any way bound by any acts of the prior
- 8 company?
- 9 A. To the extent that we --
- 10 Q. The court reporter couldn't hear your
- 11 answer.
- 12 A. The subsidiary corporation assumed some
- of the obligations of the parent corporation.
- 14 Q. Which obligations were assumed by the
- 15 new subsidiary corporation?
- 16 A. The ones that related to holding title
- 17 to mortgages or acts as mortgagee or beneficiary under
- 18 a deed of trust.
- 19 Q. Other than what you just described, was
- the new corporation in any way bound by any other
- 21 prior activity of the earlier corporation?
- 22 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 23 question.
- 24 THE WITNESS: No.
- 25 BY MR. MALONE:

- 1 Q. Specifically, was the new corporation
- 2 in any way bound by resolutions passed by the old
- 3 corporation?
- 4 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 5 question.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Only to the extent that
- 7 they assumed those resolutions.
- 8 BY MR. MALONE:
- 9 Q. Can you tell us which resolutions the
- 10 new company, the company that came into existence on
- 11 January 1, 1999 assumed?
- 12 A. There's a whole series of them. I
- don't have them in front of me and I didn't look at
- 14 them in preparation for this deposition.
- 15 Q. Okay. One was produced today and
- actually, we don't have a resolution. Do you know if
- any resolution from the old board was adopted by the
- new board as binding that has any relevance to the
- 19 appointment of nonmember employees as corporate
- 20 officers?
- 21 A. I did not look at the records. I would
- have to go back and look at the records.
- Q. Okay. What would it take you to do
- 24 that, to go back -- I take it you have to go back to
- 25 your office?

1 A. Yes.

Okay. We're going to ask that, that be 2 Q. done and that the end of today, we'll move to adjourn 3 the deposition but not complete it and we can pick up by way of telephone conference at your convenience. 5 But this fundamental question whether anything the old board did has any relevance to what we're talking 7 about today remains open. I'm going to ask that --8 MR. MALONE: Mr. Orr has delivered to 9 10 us a document captioned Mortgage Electronic 11 Registration Systems, Inc., Board of Directors, 12 Regular Meeting, MERS Corporate Headquarters, has an address and it has a date of April 9, 1998, and it 13 says Agenda at the top. And at the back of the 14 15 document, there is a certificate saying -- well, I'll get to it once the exhibit is marked. Why don't we 16 have this --17 Okay. Well, you're going 18 MR. BROCHIN: 19 to need to send that to me because we don't have a 20 The reporter is marking it as an copy of that. exhibit, so. 21 22 MR. MALONE: We haven't marked it yet. 23 I'm going to have to get MR. BROCHIN: 24 a copy of that and I'm going to have to get it printed 25 and marked as an exhibit here. So maybe you can move

- on to some other area and return to this at a later
- 2 time.
- 3 MR. MALONE: Okay. Well, let me
- 4 just -- I'm agreeable to that. I have one question
- 5 though about what was sent to us, but let me mark it.
- 6 For the record, we haven't gotten it yet, but the
- 7 stipulation that Mr. Orr signed on this end and
- 8 Ms. Sullivan signed we have had premarked by the
- 9 reporter as Hultman Exhibit 29. I don't believe you
- 10 have that because it was just marked this morning.
- 11 And we can make available a copy to you during the
- recess. So I'm going to ask the court reporter to
- mark this document that Mr. Murphy had sent last
- evening and Mr. Orr delivered to us this morning the
- agenda document, I'll ask to be marked Hultman-30.
- 16 (Hultman-30, Agenda dated 4/9/98, was
- 17 marked for identification.)
- MR. MALONE: Bobby, have you gotten a
- 19 copy of the agenda item?
- MR. BROCHIN: No, I haven't.
- 21 MR. MALONE: Okay. I do want to come
- 22 back to it, but I said I had one question and that has
- 23 to do with the, the Corporate Resolution that's at the
- 24 back of the exhibit, and it has Mr. Hultman's
- signature, but it's dated December 20, 2002.

- 1 BY MR. MALONE:
- Q. Mr. Hultman, can you explain to us how
- a resolution of April 9, 1998 doesn't get your
- 4 signature on it until December 20, 2002?
- 5 A. That's a copy that we found in the file
- 6 where I had certified it as of that date for some
- 7 other purpose.
- 8 Q. And am I correct in understanding that
- 9 the copy that was found in the file was undated?
- 10 A. I don't understand your question.
- 11 Q. You indicated that the document that we
- have that you don't have a copy of yet, but it's
- Hultman-30, the last page has a certificate signed by
- you and it's dated December 20, 2002. If I understood
- your explanation a moment ago, this is a copy of the
- 16 resolution found in the file that you dated on
- December 20, 2002 for some other matter.
- 18 A. Are you asking me the question?
- 19 Q. Did I understand you correctly?
- 20 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 21 question.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I lost track of the
- thread here because you keep moving back and forth. I
- 24 don't understand what you mean.
- 25 BY MR. MALONE:

- 1 Q. When you did something on December 20,
- 2 2002, can you tell us if you found a copy of the
- 3 resolution in the file? A copy of this resolution?
- 4 A. I don't recall the circumstances under
- 5 which I executed that certificate.
- 6 Q. Was there, to your knowledge, a signed
- 7 copy of the certificate in the file when you went
- 8 looking back in December of 2002?
- 9 A. I don't recall what happened in 2002.
- 10 Q. If I understood you correctly a moment
- ago, you certified this document on December 20, 2002
- in relation to some other matter. There was a reason
- you were being asked to do it some four and a half
- 14 years after the board met. Do you recall what the
- 15 reason was?
- 16 A. No.
- On December 20, 2002, were you the
- 18 secretary of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems,
- 19 Inc.?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. And this resolution, is this a
- 22 resolution of Mortgage Electronic Registration
- 23 Systems, Inc., the company that came into existence on
- January 1, 1999, or is it a resolution of an earlier
- 25 company?

- 1 A. It's both.
- Q. Were you the secretary of Mortgage
- 3 Electronic Registration, Inc. the company that existed
- 4 on April 9, 1998?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. When did you first become secretary of
- 7 any entity known as Mortgage Electronic Registration
- 8 Systems, Inc.?
- 9 A. I believe in April of 2000 -- 1998.
- 10 Q. Is there some board minute reflecting
- 11 that you have -- that you were appointed as the
- 12 secretary, as an officer, a secretary of the
- 13 corporation?
- 14 A. Yes.
- MR. MALONE: Okay. And we'd ask that,
- that minute be provided and any corresponding
- 17 resolution establishing that you were, in fact, the
- 18 secretary of an entity known as Mortgage Electronic
- 19 Registration Systems, Inc. as of April 9, 1998.
- 20 BY MR. MALONE:
- 21 Q. When the board met on April 9, 1998 --
- 22 well, I'll withdraw that. Before I go on to any
- further questions, I think it important you both have
- a copy of the document in front of you. You're still
- 25 waiting for it?

- 1 MR. BROCHIN: Still waiting for it.
- 2 MR. MALONE: All right. I will come
- 3 back to Hultman-30 then.
- 4 BY MR. MALONE:
- 5 Q. And I'm going to ask you to clarify
- 6 something for me because there's some confusion on my
- 7 part and my colleague's part given the name -- given
- 8 the names of the companies and the fact that this new
- 9 company that came into existence on January 1st, 1999
- 10 has the same name of an earlier company that had
- different responsibilities. So maybe we can go back
- 12 to the first company, the first Mortgage Electronic
- 13 Registration Systems, Inc. The one that passes -- the
- one that meets on April 9, 1998. When was that
- 15 company formed?
- 16 A. October 1995.
- 17 O. And was that formed in accordance with
- 18 a set of bylaws?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And has that set of bylaws been made
- 21 available to us?
- 22 A. No.
- MR. MALONE: Actually, is Mr. Murphy in
- 24 the room?
- MR. MURPHY: Yes.

- 1 BY MR. MALONE:
- Q. Mr. Murphy did provide a copy
- 3 yesterday, and once you have your complete set of
- 4 documents that you provided to us, we'll go through
- 5 them, they include a set of bylaws from 1995. But
- 6 until you see them, I won't ask you anymore questions
- 7 about them.
- 8 The resolution that was passed -- I'm
- 9 sorry, the meeting that took place on April 9, 1998,
- was that in accordance with the bylaws of 1995?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And do you recall what that -- what
- those bylaws, those 1995 bylaws said about the
- 14 appointment of corporate officers?
- 15 A. It says whatever it says. I don't have
- it in front of us so I can't tell you what it says.
- 17 Q. Okay. Did the company that was formed
- in 1995, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems,
- 19 Inc., go out of existence at some point?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. When did it go out of existence?
- 22 A. June 30, 1998.
- Q. And was there a successor company that
- took over its responsibilities?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And what was the successor company?
- 2 A. Mortgage Electronic Registration
- 3 Systems, Inc. that was incorporated on June 30, 1998.
- 4 Q. And am I correct that this is, for
- 5 purposes of clarity, this is the second entity created
- 6 with the name Mortgage Electronic Registration
- 7 Systems, Inc.?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And did that entity, the entity that
- was formed on June 30, 1998, go out of existence at
- 11 some point?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Was a new entity with the same name,
- 14 Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.,
- 15 created effective January 1, 1999?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And can you explain to me, a
- 18 noncorporate lawyer, how two companies with identical
- 19 names can exist at the same time?
- 20 A. It can't.
- MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 22 question.
- 23 BY MR. MALONE:
- Q. I'm sorry?
- 25 A. They cannot.

- 1 Q. They cannot. So can you explain to me,
- 2 for purposes of clarity -- I'm going to ask you was
- 3 the company that came into existence on January 1,
- 4 1999 the third company with the identical name
- 5 Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. You told us the first company went out
- 8 of existence at some point. What happened to the
- 9 second company around the time the third company was
- 10 formed?
- 11 A. It changed its name and gave us consent
- 12 that the new corporation take that name.
- 13 Q. Okay. And when did this name change
- 14 take place?
- 15 A. January 1st, 1999.
- 16 Q. And what was the name change, please?
- 17 A. The name was changed to Merscorp, Inc.
- 18 Q. I'm sorry, that was -- that's the name
- of the third -- I'm sorry. Withdrawn. Withdrawn. So
- there's an entity that comes into existence on
- January 1st, 1999 known as Merscorp, Inc.?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. I'm sorry, straighten me out. I'm
- 24 confused.
- A. Are you asking me a question?

- 1 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 2 question.
- 3 BY MR. MALONE:
- 4 Q. Tell us when Merscorp, Inc. came into
- 5 existence.
- 6 A. The corporate, the corporation with the
- 7 name Merscorp Inc. was incorporated on June 30, 1998.
- 8 Q. But its name was not Merscorp, Inc. on
- 9 June 30, 1998, is that correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And what was its name on June 30, 1998?
- 12 A. Mortgage Electronic Registration
- 13 Systems, Inc.
- 14 Q. And precisely, when did it take on the
- 15 new name?
- 16 A. January 1st, 1999.
- 17 Q. Thank you. And were any new Articles
- of Incorporation filed with that name change?
- 19 A. No. A Certificate of Amendment was
- 20 filed.
- 21 MR. MALONE: Okay. We'd like to
- 22 receive a copy of the Certificate of Amendment.
- 23 BY MR. MALONE:
- Q. Now, I'm trying to follow the path
- 25 taken by the entity that held a meeting on April 9,

- 1 1998. Is the entity that met on April 9, 1998 now
- 2 known as Merscorp, Inc.?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Is the entity that met on April 9, 1998
- 5 now known as Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems,
- 6 Inc.?
- 7 A. If you mean the corporation and
- 8 incorporated in 1999, no.
- 9 Q. What is the entity, if anything, that
- met on April 9, 1998 known as?
- 11 A. It doesn't exist.
- 12 Q. And I think I'm getting a better
- understanding. When did this entity that met on
- 14 April 9, 1998 cease existing?
- 15 A. June 30, 1998.
- MR. MALONE: I'm sorry, I need to mute
- 17 a second. Go offline.
- 18 (Discussion off the record.)
- 19 BY MR. MALONE:
- Q. Mr. Hultman, can you please explain to
- 21 us the entity MERS 2, the second iteration of a
- company with the name Mortgage Electronic Registration
- 23 Systems, Inc., did that succeed totally to the
- 24 business interest of MERS 1?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And in succeeding to the business
- 2 interest, did it take on all of the -- were all of the
- 3 prior resolutions and actions of a board from MERS 1
- 4 carried over and became the responsibility of MERS 2?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Now, when MERS 3 was created, you've
- 7 indicated there was division of responsibilities
- 8 between MERS 3 and the entity that became Merscorp,
- 9 Inc., is that correct?
- 10 A. There is no Merscorp, Inc. Oh, excuse
- me, I'm sorry, yes. I'm sorry, I wasn't listening
- 12 carefully.
- 13 Q. Okay. Would you describe for us what
- 14 the responsibilities were in terms of the previous
- organization, MERS 2. What responsibilities does
- 16 Merscorp, Inc. take on when it started?
- 17 A. When Merscorp, Inc. started on June 30,
- 18 1998, it succeeded and assumed all of the duties and
- 19 responsibilities of powers of the original MERS
- 20 Corporation, Inc. in 1995. As of January 1st, 1999,
- 21 the functionalities of the original companies were
- 22 bifurcated into two parts. The subsidiary held was
- given the responsibility to hold title to the mortgage
- lien or become the beneficiary of a deed of trust and
- 25 all the responsibilities related to that. The parent

- 1 company, and the parent company obviously was the sole
- 2 shareholder of the subsidiary, the parent company is
- 3 the operating company which all -- everybody's
- 4 employed by the operating company. It's the company
- 5 that owns the registries, owns all of the intellectual
- 6 property. It's the one that does all of the
- 7 operations. So in essence, everything that the old
- 8 companies did except for the title holding function.
- 9 Q. And as for the title holding function,
- that now resides with the third iteration of Mortgage
- 11 Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as of January 1,
- 12 1999, is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And are there related responsibilities
- 15 to holding title?
- 16 A. Are there?
- 17 O. Yes.
- 18 A. Is that the question?
- 19 Q. Yes, that's the question.
- 20 A. Well, there are, there are things that
- 21 come, that come from that.
- Q. What things come from that?
- 23 A. Well, you know, that company is
- responsible to release the lien when the loan is paid
- off that it's holding title to, or holding title to

- 1 the security interest. If there are bankruptcy
- 2 proceedings, that company is authorized to conduct
- 3 certain of the actions of bankruptcy, it can
- 4 foreclose. Generally, whatever functions that are
- 5 related to being a mortgagee and provided in the terms
- of the mortgage instrument itself.
- 7 Q. The word certified, what does it mean
- 8 to you as first a lawyer?
- 9 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 10 question.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I don't understand your
- 12 question.
- 13 BY MR. MALONE:
- 14 Q. As an attorney, from time to time, have
- 15 you had to certify documents?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And when you certify those
- documents, what does the word certify mean in that
- 19 context?
- 20 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 21 question.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I'm certifying that
- they're a true and accurate representation of what
- they're purported to be.
- 25 BY MR. MALONE:

- 1 Q. And when a MERS officer is appointed or
- 2 someone is appointed as a MERS certifying officer,
- what does the word certifying mean in certifying
- 4 officer?
- 5 A. Just a name.
- 6 Q. I understand it's just a name, but does
- 7 it have any meaning at all within the corporate
- 8 entity? Does a certifying officer have any
- 9 responsibilities?
- 10 A. They have the responsibilities that are
- 11 enumerated in the Corporate Resolution appointing them
- 12 a vice president, assistant secretary.
- 13 Q. And when, when one of those certifying
- officers carries out those responsibilities, are they
- 15 certifying to something? Are they attesting to the
- truth and accuracy of what they're doing?
- 17 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 18 question.
- 19 THE WITNESS: They are carrying out the
- 20 roles that are enumerated in the resolution which
- 21 authorizes them to take certain actions and that's
- 22 what they're doing.
- 23 BY MR. MALONE:
- Q. Other than just being a name, to your
- 25 knowledge, was there any reason the term certifying

- 1 officer was selected for purposes of naming these
- 2 officers?
- 3 A. It's just a name for the officers,
- 4 other than the other corporate officers, that were
- 5 appointed to manage the rest of the functionality of
- 6 the corporation.
- 7 Q. So if I follow correctly, sometime back
- 8 in April of 1998, the issue of appointing certifying
- 9 officers came up at a board meeting, is that correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Was that the first time the topic of
- 12 appointing certifying officers had come up to your
- 13 knowledge?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. When did it first come up?
- 16 A. It had been there ever since the
- 17 corporation, since the corporation was reincorporated,
- 18 I think.
- 19 Q. When was that that the corporation was
- reincorporated that you're talking about?
- 21 A. I think it was in 1995 or '96.
- 22 Q. And can you give us some background,
- please, as to why there were discussions around 1995
- or 1996 about a need for certifying officers?
- 25 A. I wasn't there at that time.

- 1 Q. And can you just briefly tell us your
- 2 knowledge of the reason you believe those discussions
- 3 go back as early as 1995 or 1996?
- 4 A. I have seen resolutions that go back
- 5 earlier than 1998.
- 6 Q. And the resolutions you saw said what?
- 7 A. Prior to April of 1998, there were
- 8 resolutions passed by the board for each member when
- 9 requested.
- 10 Q. And those were resolutions having to do
- 11 with certifying officers?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And is a certifying officer -- prior to
- 14 April of 1998, when the board would pass a resolution,
- were those certifying officers considered officers of
- 16 the corporation?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do they have corporate powers?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And was the appointment of those, those
- officers, those certifying officers made as a result
- of an action by the board of directors?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Were those officers, prior to April of
- 25 1998, called assistant secretaries?

- 1 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Were those officers, prior to April of
- 3 1998, called vice presidents?
- 4 A. I don't recall.
- 5 MR. MALONE: Bobby, do you have the
- 6 exhibit yet?
- 7 MR. BROCHIN: I do not.
- 8 MR. MALONE: The agenda? It's almost
- 9 12:30, I'm going to suggest we break for lunch until
- 1:30, hopefully by then you'll have it. If not, we
- 11 can fax you a copy.
- MR. BROCHIN: No, I'll have it. I'm
- 13 sure I'll have it by the end of the lunch break.
- 14 MR. MALONE: Let's break for lunch then
- and resume at 1:30. Thank you.
- MR. BERNHEIM: Can I ask that somebody
- 17 e-mail me this exhibit?
- 18 MR. BROCHIN: Yes, we can go do that.
- 19 Where do you want it e-mailed?
- 20 MR. BERNHEIM: Dbernheim at Wilentz dot
- 21 com.
- MR. BROCHIN: We will send it to you.
- MR. MALONE: So we'll adjourn back here
- 24 at 1:30.
- MR. BROCHIN: Okay, very good.

1 (Luncheon recess.) (At this point, the deposition 2 continued with another court reporter.) 3 4 5 7 MR. MALONE: Bobby, you now have the documents that were sent us last night around 6:30. The first 8 page is marked Agenda. That first page marked Agenda, 9 we're going to have that marked by the court reporter as 10 11 Hultman-30. 12 (Agenda marked Hultman-30 for Identification) 13 MR. MALONE: The next three pages, the minutes starting on the first page saying minutes and ending on 14 15 numbered page three signed April 9, 1998 by Sharon Hortskamp we're going to mark Hultman-31. Let's have 16 that done now. 17 18 (Minutes marked Hultman-31 for Identification) 19 And then the last two pages, one MR. MALONE: 20 is marked Corporate Resolution, it's blank, and the 21 other is marked Corporate Resolution Mortgage Electronic 22 Registration Systems. We're going to put, and I just 23 want to confirm this with Mr. Hultman, am I correct in 24 reading that the first of these two --25 MR. BROCHIN: Are you asking that?

- 1 MR. MALONE: Off the record.
- 2 (Corporate Resolution marked Hultman-32 for
- 3 Identification)
- 4 MR. MALONE: 32 is a two-page exhibit. The
- 5 first page is captioned Corporate Resolution of Mortgage
- 6 Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. and has
- 7 Mr. Hultman's signature of December 20, 2002. The
- 8 second page of the exhibit, Hultman-32, is a Corporate
- 9 Resolution, unsigned, captioned Corporate Resolution.
- Now that you have the documents, I would like
- 11 to go through what we attempted to do this morning but
- 12 could not. I'm going to give the court reporter the
- 13 stack of the original exhibits and going to go through
- 14 and make sure you have the same premarked exhibits.
- 15 First, in terms of documents provided to us in
- 16 response to the subpoena, do you have Hultman Exhibit --
- 17 do you have a document marked MERS 1 in the lower
- 18 right-hand corner?
- 19 MR. BROCHIN: Yes. I'm going through and I
- 20 have marked off of your letter. So far I'm up to
- 21 exhibit number -- last number I marked is 14.
- 22 MR. MALONE: Could we start with the witness
- 23 being shown Hultman Exhibit 1, please.
- MR. BROCHIN: Yes, we can do that.
- 25 BY MR. MALONE:

- 1 Q Mr. Hultman, could you describe what that
- 2 document is?
- 3 A This is a MIN summary report off of the MERS
- 4 system relating to a loan by Mr. and Mrs. Ukpe.
- 5 Q At the bottom some information appears to have
- 6 been redacted. Can you tell us what was redacted and
- 7 why?
- 8 A No, I cannot.
- 9 MR. MALONE: We would like to get an
- 10 unredacted copy of whatever was at the bottom of the
- 11 page. We're putting a request in for an unredacted copy
- 12 listed as a privelege claim.
- 13 BY MR. MALONE:
- 14 Q Mr. Hultman, this exhibit, Hultman Exhibit 1,
- was it produced in response to the subpoena today?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Turning to Hultman Exhibit 2, can you tell us
- 18 what this document is?
- 19 A These are the milestone reports that were
- 20 generated off the MERS system relating to the same line
- 21 as the MIN summary.
- Q Was this document produced pursuant to the
- subpoena today by MERS?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And again, there's information that has been

- 1 redacted at the bottom of the page. Do you know what
- 2 the information is?
- 3 A No.
- 4 MR. MALONE: And again we'll ask for an
- 5 unredacted copy of this exhibit unless there's an
- 6 associative privelege.
- 7 BY MR. MALONE:
- 8 Q Turning to Hultman Exhibit 3, do you have
- 9 that, please?
- 10 A I do.
- 11 Q And it's captioned Agreement for Signing
- 12 Authority. It is a two-page document. Does your
- 13 signature appear on the second page?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And is it dated October 23, 2007?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Your signature date is not dated October 23rd?
- 18 A Excuse me. I'm reading the wrong line. Yeah,
- 19 10-23-07.
- 20 Q Was this document produced pursuant to the
- 21 subpoena to MERS?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Turning to Hultman Exhibit 4, two-page
- document marked in the bottom MERS-5 and MERS-6. Do you
- 25 have that?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Was this document produced pursuant to the
- 3 subpoena?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q I would like to spend a moment more on this
- 6 particular document. It's captioned Corporate
- 7 Resolution. Do you see that at the top?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Did the MERS Board of Directors pass this
- 10 resolution?
- 11 A The resolution was passed pursuant to the
- 12 authority that was delegated to me by the Board of
- 13 Directors.
- 14 Q My question to you is did the MERS Board of
- 15 Directors pass this resolution?
- 16 A By virtue of the delegation to me that's an
- 17 action of the Board, so they passed the resolution.
- 18 Q And is it your testimony -- where is the Board
- resolution you're talking about that this relates to,
- 20 Hultman Exhibit 4?
- 21 A I told you I hadn't reviewed the records so I
- 22 don't know where that is right now.
- 23 Q I believe that it's specifically asked for in
- the subpoena and the follow-up letter requesting
- documents and I'm going to ask that the witness be

- directed to produce that resolution as a central
- 2 document in the case and we're going -- we will adjourn
- 3 the deposition today to a further date until the witness
- 4 has an opportunity to search for and locate and if it
- 5 exists, produce that particular resolution. When was
- 6 that?
- 7 MR. BROCHIN: Personally it's not relevant,
- 8 but what -- I think we are all talking about a different
- 9 resolution, so can you tell us what resolution you are
- 10 even referring to?
- 11 MR. MALONE: The question I asked Mr. Hultman
- 12 a moment ago was whether the Board of Directors passed a
- resolution relating to Hultman Exhibit 4 and I'll spell
- 14 that out a little bit more.
- 15 BY MR. MALONE:
- 16 Q Did the Board of Directors appoint a
- 17 resolution appointing employees of Phelan, Hallinan &
- 18 Schmieg, L.L.P. as assistant secretaries and
- vice-presidents of Mortgage Electronic Registration
- 20 Systems, Inc., that's the first question. I think
- 21 Mr. Hultman answered it yes. Is that correct,
- 22 Mr. Hultman?
- 23 A That's correct.
- 24 Q And my next question is -- my next question
- 25 will be when did the Board pass that resolution

- appointing members of Phelan, Hallinan & Schmieg, L.L.P.
- 2 as assistant secretaries and vice-presidents of MERS?
- 3 A The resolution was adopted pursuant to the
- 4 delegated authority to me on October 23, 2007.
- 5 MR. BROCHIN: And a copy of that resolution
- 6 that authorized him has been produced.
- 7 MR. MALONE: Please, Mr. Brochin. Could I
- 8 have the last answer by the witness read back.
- 9 (The following answer was read by the
- 10 stenographer: "Answer: The resolution was adopted
- pursuant to the delegated authority to me on October 23,
- 12 2007?")
- 13 BY MR. MALONE:
- 14 Q So when did the Board meet to pass that
- resolution, the one referenced in Hultman Exhibit 4?
- 16 A They didn't.
- 17 Q When did the Board duly adopt a resolution
- authorizing the appointment of attorneys from Phelan,
- 19 Hallinan & Schmieg to be appointed as assistant
- 20 secretaries and vice-presidents of the corporation?
- 21 A October 23, 2007.
- 22 Q And did the Board meet that day, October 23,
- 23 2007?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q When did the Board meet in relation to this

- 1 particular resolution, this Corporate Resolution Hultman
- 2 Exhibit 4?
- 3 A They originally met in April of 1998 and
- 4 delegated me the authority to do this, and so by virtue
- of the fact that I had the delegated authority when I
- 6 appointed them officers on October 23, 2007, that was an
- 7 action of the Board.
- 8 Q Your testimony, Mr. Hultman, is back in April
- 9 of 1998 the Board of a predecessor company authorized
- 10 you to appoint non-members of MERS as assistant
- 11 secretaries and vice-presidents of a successor
- 12 corporation?
- MR. BROCHIN: Excuse me. Object to the form
- 14 of the question.
- 15 Q Go ahead, please answer.
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q What did the Board do in April of 1998 in
- 18 terms of authorizing you to appoint anyone to do
- 19 anything?
- 20 A What they authorized me to do was they
- 21 delegated me the authority to elect persons requested by
- 22 members to be officers of Mortgage Electronic
- 23 Registration Systems, Inc.
- Q What kind of officers?
- 25 A Assistant secretary and vice-president.

- 1 Q And your testimony is that is what the Board
- did in April of 1998?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And that resolution that was passed back in
- 5 April of 1998 was by a company that as I understand it
- 6 went out of existence in June of 1998, is that correct?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q How does a resolution of a company that went
- 9 out of existence in June of 1998 become effective
- 10 October 23, 2007?
- 11 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 12 question. Calls for a legal conclusion.
- 13 Q Answer the question, please.
- 14 A As I explained to you before, the corporation
- 15 -- the first MERS corporation went out of existence and
- the second MERS corporation assumed its duties and
- obligations and then when the third MERS corporation was
- 18 formed, that corporation assumed some of the duties and
- obligations of the original MERS vis-a-vis the second
- 20 MERS. At that point, what I think I said was that I
- 21 didn't know where the documentation was that ratified
- 22 the original resolution.
- 23 Q I recall some testimony along those lines that
- 24 certain resolutions were ratified, but not all
- 25 resolutions of the prior MERS corporation, is that

- 1 correct?
- 2 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 3 question. Again, you're asking him about your
- 4 recollection of his testimony.
- 5 Q You answered yes, is that correct?
- 6 A I'm not sure what question I answered and what
- 7 question you're asking me now.
- 8 Q Let me try it again now. I will rephrase it.
- 9 When MERS, the third MERS corporation came into
- 10 existence on January 1, 1999, it was a shrunken
- 11 corporation, correct, it had far less authority than its
- 12 predecessor corporations, is that fair to say?
- 13 A I don't know that I would characterize it that
- 14 way.
- Okay. You indicated previously it had limited
- authority to deal with title issues, correct?
- 17 A I don't think that's the way I characterized
- 18 it.
- 19 O Then I don't want to mischaracterize it.
- 20 Would you please characterize what the authority of the
- 21 MERS three corporation was as of January 1, 1999.
- 22 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 23 question.
- 24 A When the third MERS corporation was
- incorporated, some of the duties and authority that was

- 1 exercised by the original two MERS were split off and
- 2 placed into that company and those are the ones that
- 3 relate to acting as mortgagee or acting as beneficiary
- 4 of loans originated by MERS members that had chosen, or
- 5 their borrowers had chosen to make us the mortgagee or
- 6 beneficiary.
- 7 Q And at that point on January 1, 1999 the two
- 8 prior MERS corporations, MERS one and MERS two, had
- 9 adopted over the course of time numerous resolutions, is
- 10 that correct?
- 11 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 12 question.
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And were all of those prior resolutions
- adopted by MERS three or just some of them?
- 16 A Some of them.
- 17 Q Was the resolution of April 9, 1998 granting
- the secretary the power to appoint certifying officers
- 19 adopted by the new MERS corporation on or after January
- 20 1, 1999?
- 21 A I don't know.
- 22 Q What would you have to do to find out?
- 23 A The same thing I told you two hours ago, I
- 24 would need to go back and look through the records of
- 25 that corporation.

- 1 Q Then I will make the same request that I made
- 2 two hours ago that you go back, look through the records
- of that corporation, please advise your counsel when you
- 4 have done that, if there is such a document, let us
- 5 know, if there isn't such a document, let your counsel
- 6 know, and we will then arrange to resume the deposition
- 7 so we can have an answer to that question.
- 8 MR. BROCHIN: We are writing down all your
- 9 requests, but I just didn't want you to believe our
- silence is an agreement that we're going to have to come
- 11 back and answer more questions.
- 12 MR. MALONE: Understood.
- MR. BROCHIN: I certainly think we are going
- 14 to make every effort to produce for you those documents,
- 15 I just don't want you to take that as some agreement
- that we think it's either relevant or was asked for.
- 17 MR. MALONE: Okay. Understood, thank you.
- MR. BROCHIN: Okay, thank you.
- 19 BY MR. MALONE:
- 20 Q Mr. Hultman, as corporate secretary of the
- 21 present MERS -- first off, are you the corporate
- secretary of the present MERS corporation, what I have
- 23 been calling MERS three, the one that came into
- existence on January 1, 1999?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And were you the corporate secretary of the
- 2 prior MERS corporation that we have been calling MERS
- 3 two?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And were you the corporate secretary of the
- 6 initial MERS corporation, the one we have been calling
- 7 MERS one?
- 8 A I was the corporate secretary at that MERS
- 9 since I was elected somewhere I think in the March,
- 10 April time frame of 1998.
- 11 Q And were you assistant corporate secretary
- 12 prior to that?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Was there an assistant secretary, corporate
- 15 secretary prior to?
- 16 A I don't recall.
- 17 Q As corporate secretary would you tell us,
- 18 please, what the significance is for MERS three if MERS
- 19 three did not adopt as one of the resolutions the April
- 20 9, 1998 resolution by MERS one?
- 21 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form to the extent
- 22 it calls for a legal conclusion.
- 23 Q Answer the question, please.
- 24 A I'm sorry, I've lost track of the question.
- 25 Just ask me again.

- 1 Q I will ask the reporter to read it back.
- 2 (The stenographer read the pending question)
- 3 A I don't know the answer to that question.
- 4 Q Turning to the second page of Hultman Exhibit
- 5 4, there are a series of attorneys identified. The
- 6 second one from the top is a Francis S. Hallinan,
- 7 Esquire. Do you see that?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Do you know Mr. Hallinan?
- 10 A Do I know him personally, is that your
- 11 question?
- 12 Q Yes, do you know him personally?
- 13 A I know that he works for the Hallinan Schmieg
- 14 law firm.
- Other than looking at this piece of paper how
- 16 do you know that?
- 17 A I don't know it from this piece of paper. I
- 18 know it from other corporate records.
- 19 Q What other corporate records?
- 20 A The request that was made by Countrywide.
- 21 Q And this is the e-mail request you mentioned
- 22 earlier?
- 23 A I believe so, yes.
- 24 Q That you have seen, correct?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q How recently did you see that e-mail request?
- 2 A In the last couple days.
- 3 Q And again, it has not been produced to us. I
- 4 asked for it earlier. Is there anything else? You said
- 5 you saw corporate records in addition to an e-mail.
- 6 What else have you seen that led you to believe that
- 7 Mr. Hallinan is a MERS officer?
- 8 A I was probably advised by somebody on my
- 9 staff.
- 10 Q And if someone from your staff advised you, do
- 11 you remember who that was?
- 12 A No, I do not.
- 13 Q Do you remember what they said?
- 14 A I do not.
- 15 Q Did they give any indication of what
- documents, if anything, they had looked at before
- 17 advising you that Mr. Hallinan was an officer of MERS?
- 18 A I don't recall.
- 19 O Do the assistant secretaries of the
- 20 corporation report to the secretary of the corporation?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q How often does Mr. Hallinan report to you?
- 23 A I don't believe I've ever spoken to or heard
- 24 from him.
- 25 Q Do the assistant secretaries -- first off, are

- 1 you a salaried employee of MERS?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q Are you a salaried employee of MERS Corp,
- 4 Inc.?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Are any of the employees of MERS, Inc.
- 7 salaried employees?
- 8 A I don't understand your question.
- 9 Does anyone get a paycheck, if they are an
- 10 employee of MERS, Inc., do they get a paycheck from
- 11 Mercer, Inc.?
- 12 A There is no MERS, Inc.
- 13 Q I thought, sir, there's a company that was
- 14 formed January 1, 1999, Mortgage Electronic Registration
- 15 Systems, Inc. Does it have paid employees?
- 16 A No, it does not.
- 17 Q Does it have employees?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Just so there's not any confusion, I have been
- 20 using MERS, but I thought we had an agreement earlier
- 21 today that would be a shorthand for Mortgage Electronic
- 22 Registration Systems, Inc. Have you been confused?
- 23 A I was confused because you said MERS, Inc.
- 24 There is no MERS, Inc.
- 25 Q Thank you. I will go back to just using MERS

- then. Does MERS have any salaried employees?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q Does MERS have any employees?
- A Did they ever have any? I couldn't hear you.
- 5 Q Does MERS have any employees currently?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q In the last five years has MERS had any
- 8 employees?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q To whom do the officers of MERS report?
- 11 A The Board of Directors.
- 12 Q To your knowledge has Mr. Hallinan ever
- reported to the Board?
- 14 A He would have reported through me if there was
- 15 something to report.
- 16 Q So if I understand your answer, at least the
- 17 MERS officers reflected on Hultman Exhibit 4, if they
- had something to report would report to you even though
- 19 you're not an employee of MERS, is that correct?
- 20 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 21 question.
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 Q And in what capacity would they report to you?
- 24 A As a corporate officer. I'm the secretary.
- 25 Q As a corporate officer of what?

- 1 A Of MERS.
- 2 Q So you are the secretary of MERS, but are not
- 3 an employee of MERS?
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q Does MERS have any policy for auditing the
- 6 activities of its officers; does MERS audit the activity
- 7 of its officers?
- 8 A Well, there is a Board of directors who is
- 9 responsible for all the activities of the corporation.
- 10 Q And my question to you was does MERS audit the
- 11 activities of its officers?
- 12 A I don't understand your question.
- 13 Q How many assistant secretaries have you
- appointed pursuant to the April 9, 1998 resolution; how
- many assistant secretaries of MERS have you appointed?
- 16 A I don't know that number.
- 17 Q Approximately?
- 18 A I wouldn't even begin to be able to tell you
- 19 right now.
- 20 Q Is it in the thousands?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Have you been doing this all around the
- country in every state in the country?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And all these officers I understand are unpaid

- 1 officers of MERS?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And there's no live person who is an employee
- 4 of MERS that they report to, is that correct, who is an
- 5 employee?
- 6 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 7 question.
- 8 A There are no employees of MERS.
- 9 Q To your knowledge has the MERS Board ever
- 10 audited the activity of any of these thousands of MERS
- officers that you have appointed?
- 12 A If you mean by audited do they review and are
- they responsible for the activities of those officers,
- 14 the answer is yes.
- 15 Q Would you explain to us how the Board does
- that review, what I would call audit?
- 17 A Through the four corporate officers of MERS
- 18 consisting of the president, there's two
- 19 vice-presidents, and a -- excuse me, one vice-president
- now, and the secretary-treasurer who is me.
- 21 Q Have you ever investigated a complaint against
- any of the certifying officers that you have appointed
- 23 over the years?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Tell us as you recall what the circumstances

- were.
- 2 MR. BROCHIN: Excuse me. Object to the form
- 3 of the question. Go ahead.
- 4 A Routinely people ask me to verify that people
- 5 who have signed instruments are corporate officers and
- 6 we have a process to review that and verify that people
- 7 have the proper corporate authority to sign those
- 8 instruments. We have had complaints about somebody
- 9 violating our policies and procedures and rules and we
- 10 have investigated those and where necessary we have
- 11 corrected their activities, and so we monitor what they
- do and if there is a problem, we resolve it and take
- 13 appropriate actions.
- 14 Q Have you ever disciplined any of the corporate
- officers that you have appointed?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Tell us the circumstances under which you
- disciplined a corporate officer or officers appointed by
- 19 you.
- 20 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 21 guestion. Go ahead.
- 22 A There were attorneys and officers of MERS who
- violated rules involving foreclosures, and they were
- 24 sanctioned appropriately.
- Q What were the rules those attorneys were

- violating regarding foreclosures?
- 2 A There are rules in our rules of procedure
- 3 about how MERS foreclosures need to be prosecuted in our
- 4 name, and they hadn't followed those rules.
- 5 Q And in particular what rule was violated; what
- 6 conduct did the attorneys engage in that violated the
- 7 rule?
- 8 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 9 question.
- 10 A Primarily bringing foreclosures in Florida
- 11 after we suspended them, and bringing foreclosures
- 12 without having possession of the promissory note.
- 13 Q Turning to what has been marked Hultman
- Exhibit 5, do you have that in front of you?
- 15 A Yes, I do.
- 16 Q Would you tell us what this document is,
- 17 please.
- 18 A This is the membership application and
- 19 agreement for the original member with Bank of New York
- 20 ID 1579, I think. I can't read it, but I think that's
- the number.
- 22 Q And the name of the company is BNY Western
- 23 Trust, a subsidiary of the Bank of New York, is that
- 24 correct?
- 25 A That's what the application says.

Page 75

- 1 Q And to your knowledge have there been
- 2 successor companies to the company named in this
- 3 exhibit, Exhibit 5, and have those successor companies
- 4 remained MERS members?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And to your knowledge is the Bank of New York
- 7 Mellon a MERS member?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And prior to a merger between the Bank of New
- 10 York and Mellon Bank to your knowledge was the Bank of
- 11 New York a MERS member?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Did the Bank of New York Western Trust as
- 14 identified in Exhibit 5 file a process in place for
- 15 becoming a MERS member?
- 16 A Restate the question.
- 17 Q Did they file an application?
- 18 A Which company?
- 19 Q The Bank of New York, BNY Western Trust
- 20 Company.
- 21 A This would be the application they filed.
- 22 Q And did the MERS membership rules or
- 23 membership procedures require someone who wanted to be a
- 24 member to file an application?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And was this document employed by MERS in
- 2 response to the subpoena, that is, Hultman Exhibit 5?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Turning to Hultman Exhibit 6, was it provided
- 5 by MERS in response to the subpoena?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And this is an application by Countrywide Home
- 8 Loans for membership, is that correct?
- 9 A Hang on one second. We are just making sure I
- 10 have the right materials. Just repeat the question,
- 11 please.
- 12 Q Sure. I will rephrase it. Was this an
- application filed by Countrywide Home Loans to become a
- 14 member?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Now, is Countrywide Home Loans to your
- 17 knowledge a different entity than Countrywide Financial
- 18 Corporation?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Is Countrywide Financial Corporation a member
- of MERS?
- 22 A By virtue of the way that their agreement was
- 23 set up, yes.
- Q I didn't understand that. Could you explain
- 25 what it is by virtue of the way their agreement was set

- 1 up that Countrywide Financial Corporation is also a
- 2 member.
- 3 A There is a letter agreement that is part of
- 4 the agreement modifying our standard agreement that said
- 5 that not just Countrywide, but Countrywide affiliates
- 6 would also be deemed members of MERS.
- 8 produced today, that is, a letter agreement that makes
- 9 Countrywide Financial Corporation a MERS member?
- 10 A I think it's Exhibit 8.
- 11 Q I'll turn to Exhibit 8 in a moment then.
- 12 MR. BROCHIN: Mark, could I ask the first time
- 13 you introduce an exhibit by number you also make a
- reference to the bates stamp numbers?
- 15 MR. MALONE: Sure, I will be glad to.
- 16 BY MR. MALONE:
- 17 Q The exhibit that we are looking at right now,
- 18 Hultman Exhibit 6, is marked MERS-11, MERS-12. Do you
- 19 see that, Mr. Hultman?
- 20 A I do.
- 21 Q Turning to Exhibit 7, which is marked MERS-13
- and MERS-14, do you have that?
- 23 A I do.
- Q Was this exhibit, Exhibit 7, produced in
- 25 response to the subpoena by MERS?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And could you briefly describe what this
- 3 exhibit is, what it represents.
- 4 A It is a letter amendment to our normal rules
- 5 and procedures.
- 6 Q And can you tell us the subject matter of the
- 7 amendment? What areas of the rules and procedures were
- 8 amended by this agreement?
- 9 A This allows affiliates -- excuse me, this one
- is for brokers of Countrywide to use the MERS document,
- 11 the MERS security instrument, even though they are not a
- 12 member of MERS. It allows them to be referenced on the
- 13 MERS security interest for loans where MERS serves as
- 14 mortgagee.
- 15 MR. MALONE: Could I have the last answer read
- 16 back, please.
- 17 (The stenographer read the previous answer)
- 18 Q Could you explain that a little bit more,
- 19 Mr. Hultman.
- 20 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 21 question.
- 22 A In a table funded relationship where
- 23 Countrywide controls the production of the documents but
- is committed to purchase those loans upon closing, the
- 25 broker will appear as the payee on the note and so the

- 1 reference to MERS as nominee for that broker and its
- 2 successors it assigns is permitted by virtue of this
- 3 agreement.
- 4 Q Are there particular brokers that Countrywide
- 5 designated pursuant to this agreement that MERS agreed
- 6 could be the subject of this agreement?
- 7 A I'm not sure I understand the question, the
- 8 way it was phrased.
- 9 Does Exhibit 7 address specifically named
- 10 brokers by Countrywide?
- 11 A By name?
- 12 Q By name, yes.
- 13 A No, it does not.
- 14 0 The reference in the first line of the exhibit
- talks about understanding an agreement to deviate from
- the regular MERS rules. Do you see that?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And what were the regular MERS rules regarding
- 19 brokers?
- 20 A I don't think that's what it's referring to.
- 21 Q I'm sorry, what is it referring to in terms of
- 22 deviating from the regular rules?
- 23 A Normally only members would be referenced in
- the security interest and we would be nominees for
- 25 members.

- 1 Q And if Countrywide's name appears on the
- 2 mortgage -- on the note, the promissory note, as the
- 3 lender, does that mean that Countrywide originated the
- 4 loan as compared to a broker?
- 5 A What it means is that they are the payee of
- 6 the loan.
- 7 Q If the broker originated the loan under this
- 8 deviation, this agreement to deviate, would the broker's
- 9 name then appear in the mortgage?
- 10 A It could, yes, it could.
- 11 Q And in which document in particular or
- documents would the broker's name appear?
- 13 A It would be on the note.
- 14 Q On the note, not the mortgage?
- 15 A I don't understand your question.
- 16 Q I'm asking which document would the broker's
- 17 name appear. You answered on the note, and I'm just
- 18 confirming that the broker's name would appear on the
- note as opposed to the mortgage instrument?
- 20 A No, it would be referenced -- if MERS was
- 21 serving as the mortgagee for that promissory note, there
- 22 would be a reference to the broker in the mortgage
- 23 instrument.
- Q This document, Exhibit 7, was produced by MERS
- in response to our discovery requests. To your

- 1 knowledge does it have any relevance to the complaint in
- 2 this case, if you know?
- 3 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 4 question. Are you talking about a complaint where MERS
- 5 is not a party to the case?
- 6 MR. MALONE: Yes, talking about the complaint
- 7 in this case.
- 8 MR. BROCHIN: And whether a document has
- 9 relevance to that complaint?
- 10 MR. MALONE: Yes, if he knows.
- MR. BERNHEIM: My question was, Mr. Malone,
- are you referencing the foreclosure complaint as opposed
- to any claims that have been filed by the Ukpes?
- 14 MR. MALONE: Yes, I was referencing the
- foreclosure complaint, if you're familiar with it.
- MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 17 question if that's what you're asking this witness of
- 18 MERS.
- 19 A I don't understand the question.
- 20 MR. MALONE: I will rephrase the question.
- 21 BY MR. MALONE:
- 22 One of the parties in the Ukpes' counterclaim
- and third party complaint is a broker identified as
- 24 Morgan Funding, Inc. Are you familiar with Morgan
- 25 Funding, Inc.?

- 1 A No, I'm not.
- 2 Q Are you familiar with any brokers that
- 3 Countrywide Home Loans used relevant to this agreement
- 4 to deviate, Hultman Exhibit 7?
- 5 A I don't have any information on that right
- 6 now.
- 7 Q Now, turning to Hultman Exhibit 8, MERS-15
- 8 through 18, was this a document produced in discovery by
- 9 MERS?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q You made a reference to Exhibit 8 a moment ago
- 12 with regard to questions I was asking about Countrywide
- 13 Financial Corporation becoming a MERS member. Do you
- 14 recall that reference?
- 15 A I do.
- 16 Q And can you tell us where in Exhibit 8,
- 17 Hultman Exhibit 8, there's any reference to Countrywide
- 18 Financial Corporation that would lead you to believe
- 19 Countrywide Financial Corporation was a MERS member.
- 20 A I think I'm actually thinking of a different
- 21 document than this one.
- Q Well, first off, is there something in this
- document that would indicate that Countrywide Financial
- 24 Corporation is a MERS member, this document being
- 25 Hultman Exhibit 8?

Page 83

- 1 A I just need to look through it.
- 2 Q Sure, take your time.
- 3 A I don't think so.
- 4 Q And you say you're thinking of another
- 5 document. What document are you thinking of?
- 6 A I think there is a subsequent letter to April
- 7 30, 2000 that may have expanded or further amended the
- 8 MERS regular terms and conditions, rules and procedures.
- 9 Q And you think that other document references
- 10 Countrywide Financial Corporation?
- 11 A I think my recollection is that it said
- 12 Countrywide Home Loans for itself and other affiliates.
- 13 MR. MALONE: I'm going to ask that that
- document be produced. We have not received it.
- 15 BY MR. MALONE:
- 16 Q Is it your understanding that that document,
- if it exists, would include Countrywide Financial
- 18 Corporation?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And do you know when that other document came
- 21 into existence?
- 22 A Sometime after April 30, 2000.
- 23 O Did it come into existence on or before March
- 24 13, 2008?
- 25 A I don't recall.

- 1 Q Again, we're going to ask you to search your
- 2 files and see if such a document exists and if it does,
- 3 please produce it.
- 4 Turning to Exhibit 9, Hultman Exhibit 9 marked
- 5 MERS-19 and MERS-20. First, was this a document
- 6 produced by MERS?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Would you briefly describe for us what Hultman
- 9 Exhibit 9 is.
- 10 A These were the standard terms and conditions
- which are part of the membership agreements in effect I
- think as of October 5, 2000.
- 13 Q And are there terms and conditions that were
- in existence or applicable prior to October 5, 2000?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And have they been produced?
- 17 A I don't know.
- 18 Q I don't recall seeing them, so I'm going to
- 19 ask that we have the earlier set of terms and conditions
- 20 produced, particularly the terms and conditions in
- 21 effect on March 14, 2008, the date of the assignment at
- 22 issue in this lawsuit.
- Going back a moment, if I could, to Hultman
- 24 Exhibit 8; in the first paragraph, this is on page MERS
- 25 bates stamp 15, ask you to just read the first paragraph

- 1 to yourself, please.
- 2 A Okay.
- Q There is a reference to three distinct documents being collectively referred to as the
- 5 governing documents. Do you see that?
- 6 A I see that it says there are four documents.
- 7 Q I'm sorry, four documents. Membership
- 8 application, terms and conditions, rules of membership,
- 9 and procedures manual, four documents, thank you. Were
- 10 those four documents as of April of 2000 collectively
- 11 the governing documents?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Has the concept of governing documents changed
- 14 at all since April 30, 2000? By that I mean have any of
- 15 these four documents been deleted, have any new
- documents been added, or are these documents, these four
- 17 documents, still considered the MERS governing
- 18 documents?
- 19 A Same four documents are considered the
- 20 governing documents, but I believe that except for the
- 21 terms and conditions there have been changes to the
- application, rules of membership, and the procedures
- 23 manual, which are periodically revised.
- 24 Q Turning to Hultman Exhibit 10. It has been
- 25 bates stamped MERS-21 through MERS-175. Is this a

- document that was produced by MERS in response to the
- 2 subpoena?
- 3 A It looks like mine is not a complete copy.
- 4 I'm missing pages 63 through 174 inclusive.
- 5 Q It clearly is not a complete copy.
- 6 A It looks like it goes from 21 to 62 and then
- 7 there's 175.
- 8 MR. BROCHIN: What are you missing, 63 --
- 9 THE WITNESS: 63 to 174.
- 10 A Now I've got a complete copy.
- 11 Q Would you describe for us, please, what MERS
- 12 Exhibit 10 is.
- 13 A It's a procedures manual that outlines
- 14 procedures that provide a level of detail below our
- 15 rules and below our terms and conditions of the manner
- in which we expect our members to operate in the MERS
- 17 process.
- 18 Q And this is one of the four governing
- 19 documents, is that correct?
- 20 A That is correct.
- 21 Q And it indicates on the bottom right-hand
- corner a date of June 8, 2009, is that correct?
- 23 A That's what it says.
- Q And we'll get to it in a few moments, I hope,
- 25 but we had requested and you did send to us the earlier

- 1 terms and conditions. So we will get to those in a
- 2 moment. Just in case I didn't ask, Hultman Exhibit 10
- 3 was produced in response to the subpoena?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Turning to Hultman Exhibit 11, was that
- 6 produced in response to the subpoena?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 MR. BROCHIN: Could you read the bates stamps
- 9 for the exhibit.
- MR. MALONE: Sure. MERS-176 through MERS-276.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I'm missing something.
- 12 MR. MALONE: I'm sorry, I read too much. It's
- 13 MERS-176 through MERS-218.
- 14 THE WITNESS: That's what I have too.
- 15 BY MR. MALONE:
- 16 Q This is the complete document, I believe, that
- was provided to us. If you look at the cover page,
- table of contents, rule 14 is the last rule and begins
- on page 39, and this has rule 14. It's not clear
- whether that's the end of rule 14. Do you know if there
- is more to that document beyond page 43?
- 22 A I can't confirm that. I don't know. It may
- 23 or may not.
- Q We would ask you to check that and if there is
- 25 more to Exhibit 11, the MERS Corp Inc. Rules of

- 1 Membership, beyond what has been bates stamped MERS-176
- through MERS-218, we would ask that you supplement your
- 3 document production accordingly. This document -- this
- 4 is called MERS Corp., Inc. Rules of Membership, is that
- 5 correct?
- 6 A That's what the title is.
- 7 Q And what is the relationship of MERS Corp.,
- 8 Inc.'s Rules of Membership and the governing documents
- 9 for MERS members?
- 10 A This is part of the governing documents that
- 11 govern the relationship between both MERS and MERS Corp.
- 12 with its members.
- MR. MALONE: We just heard some reference to
- 14 page 43 and Hultman Exhibit 11.
- MR. BROCHIN: What we were saying is that
- 16 Exhibit Number 11 is a complete set of the Rules of
- 17 Membership.
- 18 MR. MALONE: Okay.
- MR. BROCHIN: That ended on page 43.
- 20 BY MR. MALONE:
- 21 Q So the first numbered page numbered by MERS is
- one and the last numbered page numbered by MERS is 43,
- is that correct?
- 24 A That's correct.
- 25 Q And we have bates stamped them, the first

- 1 numbered page MERS-176 and the last page MERS-218.
- 2 A That's correct.
- 3 Q And that represents a complete Exhibit 11,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And these Rules of Membership reflect an
- 7 active date of June, 2009, is that correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And in a moment we will get to the earlier
- 10 version of those rules. Turning to Hultman Exhibit 12,
- 11 which is MERS-299, do you have that?
- 12 A I do.
- 13 Q And was this produced by MERS in response to
- 14 the subpoena?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And can you tell us what the document
- 17 represents?
- 18 A It is a cover letter from the CT Corporation
- 19 Systems filing the Certificate of Incorporation for the
- 20 third MERS Registration Systems, Inc.
- 21 Q Hultman Exhibit 13, bates stamped MERS-220, do
- 22 you have that?
- 23 A I do.
- Q Was it produced pursuant to the subpoena?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And can you tell us what it represents?
- 2 A It's a document from the secretary of state
- 3 certifying that an attachment, which is not attached to
- 4 it, is a true copy of the Certificate of Incorporation
- 5 filed on the 30th day of December, 1998.
- 6 Q And turning then to MERS Exhibit 14 -- I'm
- 7 sorry, strike that, Hultman Exhibit 14, bates stamped
- 8 MERS-221 through 226; first, is this a document produced
- 9 by MERS in response to the subpoena?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And could you describe the document for us,
- 12 please.
- 13 A This is the Certificate of Incorporation of
- 14 Mortgage Electronics Registrations Systems, Inc. that
- was effective January 1, 1999.
- 16 Q And this is the third iteration of MERS, is
- 17 that correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And turning to the last page, page six of the
- 20 Certificate of Incorporation, is that your signature at
- 21 the bottom of the page?
- 22 A Yes, it is.
- 23 Q And you were the sole incorporator of MERS
- when it was incorporated effective January 1, 1999?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Turning then to Hultman Exhibit 15 marked
- 2 MERS-227 through MERS-272; do you have that?
- 3 A I'm just checking the pages. I do.
- 4 Q Was this exhibit, Exhibit 15, produced in
- 5 response to the subpoena?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And is this an earlier copy of the MERS Corp.
- 8 Rules of Membership?
- 9 A It is a copy of the Rules of Membership that
- was in effect as of July, 2006.
- 11 Q To your knowledge was this set of the Rules of
- 12 Membership in effect during March of 2008?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Turning then to Hultman Exhibit 16, bates
- stamped MERS-273 through MERS-400, do you have that?
- 16 A I do. I'm just checking the pages here.
- 17 Q Was Hultman Exhibit 16 produced pursuant to
- 18 the subpoena?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And briefly would you describe for us what
- 21 Hultman Exhibit 16 is.
- 22 A This is an earlier version of the procedures
- 23 manual that was prior to the one produced as Hultman
- 24 Exhibit Number 10.
- 25 Q And did this earlier version, that is, Hultman

- 1 Exhibit 16, become effective December 9, 2007?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Was it in effect during March of 2008?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Turning then to MERS Exhibit 17, MERS-401 --
- 6 I'm sorry, strike that. Turning to Hultman Exhibit 17,
- 7 bates stamped MERS-401 through MERS-421; do you have
- 8 Hultman Exhibit 17?
- 9 A I do.
- 10 Q And was this produced pursuant to the
- 11 subpoena?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And briefly can you describe for us what this
- 14 exhibit is.
- 15 A This was the bylaws of the first iteration of
- 16 MERS.
- 17 Q That's the one we were calling MERS one?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And once again, when did MERS one go out of
- 20 existence?
- 21 A June 30, 1998.
- 22 Q And do these bylaws govern the conduct of the
- 23 Board of Directors during April of 1998?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Turning to Article 6 of the bylaws on MERS

- 1 bates stamp 414; do you have that?
- 2 A Which page are you looking at?
- 3 Q MERS 414, Article 6, Officers.
- 4 A Okay.
- 5 Q It's numbered page 14. Do the bylaws provide
- 6 that the officers of the corporation shall be chosen by
- 7 the Board of Directors?
- 8 A It does.
- 9 Q Do the bylaws provide that the Board of
- 10 Directors may appoint one or more vice-presidents and
- 11 other officers?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Turning to the same exhibit, what has been
- 14 marked bates stamp MERS 416, could I ask you to turn to
- 15 that, Section 8.
- 16 A Okay.
- 17 Q There is a discussion of vice-president and
- vice-presidents within the body of Section 8. Do you
- 19 see that?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Are those vice-presidents that have to be
- appointed by the Board?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Is there anything in that section authorizing
- 25 the appointment of vice-presidents by you?

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q To your knowledge is there anything in any
- 3 MERS bylaw in existence at any time authorizing you to
- 4 appoint vice-presidents of MERS?
- 5 A I think Section 2 is the operative section
- 6 that would govern officers appointed by me.
- 7 Q Section 2, what MERS page is that, MERS bates
- 8 stamp?
- 9 A Page 414.
- 10 Q You're under Article 6, Section 2. And I
- 11 would ask you to read that into the record, please.
- 12 A "Section 2; Additional officers. The Board of
- 13 Directors may appoint one or more vice-presidents,
- 14 treasurers and such other officers and agents giving any
- of them such further designation or alternate titles, as
- it shall deem necessary, who shall hold their offices
- for such terms and shall exercise such powers and
- 18 perform such duties as the Board determines from time to
- 19 time."
- 20 Q Is there any reference to the secretary of the
- 21 Board being delegated authority to appoint
- 22 vice-presidents?
- 23 MR. BROCHIN: Objection. The document speaks
- 24 for itself.
- 25 Q I understand. You're referring to this

- document as authorizing you to appoint vice-presidents
- of the corporation, correct?
- 3 A No, that's not what I said.
- 4 Q I'm sorry, what did you say?
- 5 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 6 question.
- 7 A I said this is the section that authorizes the
- 8 Board in our belief to delegate the authority to me to
- 9 appoint the certifying officers under the resolution
- 10 that we discussed previously.
- 11 Q Is there any reference to the Board delegate
- or delegation or some variation of the word delegate in
- 13 Section 2 of Article 6 on bates stamp MERS 414?
- 14 MR. BROCHIN: Objection. The document speaks
- 15 for itself.
- 16 A We believe that the section that says "who
- 17 shall hold their offices for such terms and shall
- 18 exercise such powers and perform such duties as the
- 19 Board shall determine from time to time", and the Board
- 20 determined that I get delegated authority.
- 21 Q All of that is what you just read, the last
- 22 clause of Section 2 beginning with "The Board of
- 23 Directors may appoint one or more vice-presidents?
- 24 A They did, and they appointed me.
- 25 Q Did they appoint Mr. Hallinan; did the Board

- of Directors appoint Mr. Hallinan as vice-president of
- 2 the corporation?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q We have been through this before, but I'm
- 5 missing the minutes of the Board meeting when
- 6 Mr. Hallinan was appointed an officer, a vice-president,
- 7 of MERS. Do they exist?
- 8 A There are no minutes. I said this four times.
- 9 There are no minutes. They delegated to me the
- 10 authority and I appointed him, and you have seen the
- 11 resolution that was adopted pursuant to my delegated
- 12 authority. That's the only record we're talking about.
- 13 Q I've seen something that you say is a
- 14 resolution, but I have not seen a resolution of the
- 15 Board referencing the appointment of Mr. Hallinan as a
- 16 vice-president of MERS.
- 17 MR. BERNEHIM: Objection. Asked and answered
- 18 and argumentative.
- 19 MR. BROCHIN: Is it a question or is it a
- 20 statement?
- MR. MALONE: Could I have the last answer read
- 22 back or maybe two answers back.
- 23 (The stenographer read the following answer:
- 24 "Answer: There are no minutes. I said this four times.
- 25 There are no minutes. They delegated to me the

- 1 authority and I appointed him, and you have seen the
- 2 resolution that was adopted pursuant to my delegated
- 3 authority. That's the only record we're talking
- 4 about.")
- 5 BY MR. MALONE:
- 6 Q When you reference a resolution that was
- 7 adopted, Mr. Hultman, are you saying that the Board
- 8 adopted a resolution backing your appointment of
- 9 Mr. Hallinan as an officer of the corporation?
- 10 A I don't think I understand your question.
- 11 Q After you appointed Mr. Hallinan as an officer
- of the corporation pursuant to the powers you claim you
- have to appoint assistant secretaries and
- vice-presidents of the corporation, did the Board pass
- 15 upon your activity?
- 16 A I don't understand your question.
- 17 Q At some point in time you appointed Francis
- 18 Hallinan an officer of the corporation, correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q When was that you made the appointment?
- 21 A The date of that resolution.
- 22 Q That resolution, is that a resolution of the
- 23 Board or is that a resolution of yours?
- 24 A It is a resolution of the Board.
- 25 Q When did the Board pass that resolution?

- 1 A For the fifth time, they appointed me and
- 2 delegated me the authority to appoint Mr. Hallinan as an
- 3 officer of MERS. You saw the resolution, which we
- 4 produced for you, and I'm not changing my answer.
- 5 Q I'm not sure I have an answer to my question.
- 6 My question is after you appointed Mr. Hallinan an
- 7 officer of MERS pursuant to what you claim you had
- 8 authority to do based on an April 9, 1998 resolution by
- 9 MERS one, did the MERS three Board ever do anything to
- 10 ratify your appointment, Mr. Hultman --
- 11 A No.
- 12 O -- of Mr. Hallinan?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Prior to your appointment of Mr. Hallinan as a
- 15 MERS officer did the MERS three Board ever do anything
- 16 to ratify your authority to appoint corporate assistant
- 17 secretaries and vice-presidents?
- 18 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form.
- 19 A And that's the part that I've said to you, I
- need to go back and review the minutes to produce the
- 21 documentation for that.
- 22 Q And just to clarify, you're uncertain what the
- answer is presently?
- 24 A I have no recollection either that it's there
- or it's not there. I need to go back and look for it.

- 1 MR. MALONE: We would like to take a
- 2 five-minute break.
- 3 (Off the record)
- 4 BY MR. MALONE:
- 5 Q Turning to we were discussing MERS bylaws,
- 6 Hultman Exhibit 17, and can you tell us who signed these
- 7 -- who signed as the corporate secretary; is it Phyllis
- 8 K. Slesinger?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Did you replace Ms. Slesinger as the corporate
- 11 secretary?
- 12 A I don't think so.
- 13 Q Who succeeded Ms. Slesinger, if you know?
- 14 A I believe R. K. Arnold.
- 15 Q Did you succeed Mr. Arnold as corporate
- 16 secretary?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Turning to Hultman Exhibit 18 marked MERS 421
- through MERS 432; do you have that?
- 20 A I do.
- 21 Q Do these contain a set of the bylaws effective
- 22 January 1, 1999?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And inviting your attention to Article 6
- 25 dealing with the appointment of officers of the --

- dealing with officers of the corporation, is there
- 2 anything in here specifically addressing the delegation
- 3 by the Board to you of the power to appoint assistant
- 4 secretaries and vice-presidents for MERS?
- 5 A Article 6, Section 2.
- 6 Q And by the way, these bylaws came into effect
- on January 1, 1999, is that correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And the prior set of bylaws from Exhibit 17
- 10 ceased to apply as of June 30, 1998, is that correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And were there some operative bylaws that
- 13 addressed the issue of appointment of corporate officers
- during that period following June 30th of 1998 when
- 15 Hultman-17 expired and the beginning of the operation of
- the bylaws on January 1, 1999 reflected in Hultman
- 17 Exhibit 18?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And what bylaws were they?
- 20 A Those were the bylaws of the current MERS
- 21 Corp. Inc. which had the name Mortgage Electronic
- 22 Registration Systems, Inc. prior to January 1, 1999.
- MR. MALONE: We're going to ask that those
- 24 bylaws also be produced.
- Q Was it your position, Mr. Hultman, that during

- the period those bylaws you just mentioned were in
- 2 effect, that you had the power to appoint corporate
- 3 vice-presidents and assistant secretaries of the entity
- 4 that was operating after June 30, 1998 and before
- 5 January 1, 1999?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And was it the same section, Article 2 --
- 8 Article 6, paragraph two, of the bylaws that you were
- 9 relying upon, if you know?
- 10 A I don't know what the designation was, but
- 11 there was a similar provision in those bylaws.
- 12 Q Similar to the one we just looked at in the
- other set of bylaws?
- 14 A That's correct.
- 15 Q We're picking up in sequential order, Hultman
- 16 Exhibit 19 marked MERS/Ukpe-1, I think we did discuss
- 17 that briefly earlier today. It is a letter and I think
- 18 you said you hadn't seen it before today.
- 19 A If you're referring to Exhibit 19, I have not
- 20 seen this before today.
- 21 O Correct. And Hultman Exhibit 20 we were
- discussing earlier, this is the subpoena that you
- reviewed prior to today and arranged for documents to be
- 24 produced, correct?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And just for clarification, on paragraph two
- of the subpoena, that's MERS bates stamp MERS/Ukpe 003
- paragraph two "Any and all documents relating to the
- 4 MERS corporate resolution appointing PHS attorneys as
- 5 MERS officers"; are there any documents that you're
- 6 aware of beyond what have been produced today relating
- 7 to this paragraph with the exception of what you
- 8 discussed earlier which is to see whether MERS Corp
- 9 three adopted the earlier resolution of MERS Corp one?
- 10 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 11 question.
- 12 Q Do you understand the question?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Let me break it down in two parts then.
- 15 First, with regard to what you have produced today are
- 16 you aware of any other documents that have any bearing
- or relevance to what's requested in paragraph two of the
- 18 subpoena?
- 19 A Yes.
- 21 bearing on paragraph two of the subpoena?
- 22 A The ones that I have agreed to review the
- 23 records of the third MERS to produce.
- 24 Q And the records of the third MERS that has to
- do with whether MERS three adopted the April 9, 1998

- 1 resolution of MERS one, is that correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And in addition there has been a reference to
- 4 an e-mail from some Countrywide entity requesting that
- 5 Mr. Hallinan and other lawyers from the firm be
- 6 appointed as MERS officers, is that correct?
- 7 A That's correct, yes.
- 8 Q You're going to look for that. Other than
- 9 those two items, are there any other documents about
- which you're aware that have any relevance to paragraph
- 11 two of the subpoena?
- 12 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 13 question.
- 14 A Not to my knowledge.
- 15 Q On paragraph three requesting "an original or
- true copy of the MERS Board of Directors minutes of the
- 17 MERS Board meeting passing the resolution appointing
- Phelan, Hallinan & Schmieg attorneys as MERS officers",
- are there any minutes responsive to paragraph three?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 O And what are those minutes?
- 22 A Materials that I thought we sent them at some
- point today, the April 9, 1998 minutes of that meeting.
- 24 O And we have a document that has been marked
- 25 Hultman-31 and it was provided today. It reflects

- 1 minutes of the Board of Directors regular meeting April
- 2 9, 1998. Those are the minutes you're referring to?
- 3 A I don't have that document.
- 4 Q That's the one we waited all morning for.
- 5 A Well, 30 is the agenda. 31 is the minutes,
- 6 and 32 is a copy of that resolution.
- 7 Q And your testimony is that Hultman Exhibit 31
- 8 is responsive to paragraph three of the subpoena, is
- 9 that correct?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And is there anything in Hultman Exhibit 31,
- minutes of an April 9, 1998 meeting, that mentions the
- 13 law firm Phelan, Hallinan & Schmieg?
- 14 A Only the fourth resolution by motion made,
- 15 seconded, passed and the Board of Directors authorized
- the secretary of the corporation to approve certified
- officers of the corporation.
- 18 Q And is there a reference to Phelan, Hallinan &
- 19 Schmieg in that passage you just read?
- 20 A To the extent that there certifying officers,
- 21 yes.
- 22 Q Could you point out to me where in these
- 23 minutes it says the Board has passed a resolution
- 24 appointing Phelan, Hallinan, Schmieg as MERS officers?
- MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the

- 1 question.
- 2 A It says that the Board approved resolution
- 3 authorizing the secretary of the corporation to approve
- 4 certifying officers of the corporation.
- 6 A These people are certifying officers of the
- 7 corporation.
- 8 Q Earlier we had a discussion about what was
- 9 meant by that back in April of 1998 and I asked you if
- 10 the people who were appointed as certifying officers
- were appointed as vice-presidents of the corporation
- back then. Do you recall that question?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Let me ask it now. Back in April of 1998 were
- 15 certifying officers being made vice-presidents of the
- 16 corporation?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And back in April of 1998 were certifying
- officers being made assistant secretaries of the
- 20 corporation?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Was there something submitted to the Board
- 23 beforehand, before the meeting of April 9, explaining to
- the Board what was being accomplished by delegating
- authority authorizing the secretary to approve

- certifying officers?
- 2 A I don't believe so.
- 3 Q You told us earlier that certifying officer
- was a name, do you remember that, just is a name?
- 5 MR. BROCHIN: Objection to the form of the
- 6 question.
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q To your knowledge was the Board aware at the
- 9 time of this resolution that certifying officers would
- 10 have the title of vice-president?
- 11 A I don't recall.
- 12 Q To your knowledge was the Board aware at this
- 13 time that the certifying officers would have the title
- of assistant secretary of the corporation?
- 15 A I don't recall.
- 16 Q Were these minutes ever read to the Board and
- approved by the Board?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 0 When did that occur?
- 20 A At a meeting in May of 1998.
- 21 MR. MALONE: And I do not believe we have
- those minutes, so I would ask that a copy of those
- 23 minutes be provided to us, that is, the minutes of the
- 24 May, 1998 Board meeting approving the minutes of April
- 25 9, 1998.

- 1 BY MR. MALONE:
- 2 Q Now, turning to Hultman Exhibit 32 -- by the
- 3 way, Hultman Exhibits 30, 31 and 32 were produced by
- 4 MERS today pursuant to the subpoena, is that correct?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Turning to Exhibit 32, the first page as we've
- 7 assembled it is captioned Corporate Resolution Mortgage
- 8 Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. Do you see that?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And the resolution -- it's resolved, I'm
- 11 paraphrasing the first paragraph of the corporate
- resolution, it's resolved that it's in the best interest
- of the corporation that the secretary is authorized to
- 14 review and approve the nominations of members of their
- 15 respective certifying officers of MERS. Can you explain
- 16 to us your understanding of what that resolution
- 17 approved?
- 18 A Members would nominate people to be officers
- of MERS and we would review and appoint them if it was
- 20 appropriate.
- 21 Q And the nominations back in that date, back in
- 22 1998, were they limited to just employees of members or
- did it also include non-employees of members?
- 24 A It wasn't specified.
- Q Do the MERS terms and conditions address that

- 1 issue?
- 2 A I don't recall.
- 3 Q Do any of the governing documents address that
- 4 issue?
- 5 A I would have to look at them. I don't recall.
- 6 Q Do any of the governing documents permit the
- 7 appointment of non-employees of members?
- 8 A I don't recall.
- 9 Q I'm going to ask you to review between now and
- 10 the next time we continue this deposition the answer to
- 11 that question, whether there is anything in the
- 12 governing documents authorizing you to appoint a
- non-employee of a member as a MERS assistant secretary
- or a MERS vice-president.
- Now, am I correct --
- MR. BROCHIN: I just want you to know, I know
- 17 you said okay, but I don't want you to think my silence
- is in any way agreeing that this witnesses is going to
- 19 need to come back and answer a question.
- 20 MR. MALONE: That's understood completely,
- 21 Mr. Brochin.
- MR. BROCHIN: I won't make that point any
- 23 further.
- MR. MALONE: It is a continuing objection.
- 25 MR. BROCHIN: Fair enough. Thank you.

- 1 MR. MALONE: You're welcome.
- 2 BY MR. MALONE:
- 3 Q Inviting your attention, again, to the first
- 4 paragraph of this first page of Hultman-32, it says "The
- 5 secretary shall be authorized to sign a corporate
- 6 resolution substantially similar to the one attached
- 7 hereto and incorporated herewith"; do you see that,
- 8 Mr. Hultman; did I read it correctly?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Continuing, "Said Corporate Resolution shall
- 11 be evidence of the corporation's approval and shall
- 12 state all powers granted to said certifying officers";
- 13 do you see that?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Now, the certificate that follows it indicates
- there was a Board meeting on April 9, 1998, but the date
- of the certificate is December 20, 2002, correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And the signature is yours, correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Did you place that date, that is, the
- 22 handwritten date of December 20, 2002 on the document
- 23 Hultman Exhibit 32?
- 24 A No.
- Q Who placed the date on the exhibit?

- 1 A Sharon Hortskamp.
- 3 Miss Hortskamp put a date of December 20, 2002 on a
- 4 certificate relating to a Board of Directors resolution
- 5 dating back to April 9, 1998?
- 6 A No.
- 8 this certificate prior to -- I'm sorry, let me withdraw
- 9 that. Do you know if anyone signed and dated the first
- page of Hultman-32 prior to December 20, 2002?
- 11 A I don't understand your question.
- date, a handwritten date, on this Corporate Resolution
- 14 certificate, correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And did you sign it after she placed the date
- 17 on it?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And my question is do you know if there is any
- 20 copy of this certificate with an earlier date on it that
- 21 says this certificate is dated this blank day of blank
- 22 month of blank year?
- 23 A It's possible.
- Q Do you know?
- 25 A I do not know. I don't recall. I recall that

- 1 I signed more than one of these certificates when
- 2 requested by a member and we would sign them and date
- 3 them as the date they were requested.
- 4 Q Do you understand, Mr. Hultman, that there are
- 5 multiple copies of this certificate attached to the
- 6 Board's resolution of April 9, 1998?
- 7 A What I said was there are multiple
- 8 certificates attesting that on April 9, 1998 that
- 9 resolution was passed, not that there are multiple
- 10 copies of this certificate. They may have different
- 11 dates.
- 12 Q And again, we're referring to the first page
- of Hultman-32. Can you explain why there would be a
- 14 need for multiple documents with different dates on it
- for this certificate saying you're certifying the
- 16 foregoing is a true resolution adopted on the 9th day of
- 17 April, 1998?
- 18 A Members ask for it and so we provided it and
- 19 we certify that that's a true copy.
- 20 Q And the resolution we're talking about, we
- 21 have an agenda, we have minutes, and where is the
- original resolution of which this is a true, you're
- certifying to a true copy?
- 24 A It's just language. There's not -- it was not
- an official copy of it. There's multiple copies of it.

- 1 Q Under the certificate language on Hultman-32
- 2 it says I William C. Hultman being the corporate
- 3 secretary of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems
- 4 hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of a
- 5 resolution duly adopted by the Board of Directors of
- 6 said corporation at a meeting held on the 9th day of
- 7 April, 1998. I haven't completed reading it, but as far
- 8 as I read did I read it accurately?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q By certifying, are you certifying to the
- 11 accuracy of what you're saying here on Hultman-32?
- MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 13 question.
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And in certifying to the accuracy that this is
- a true copy of a resolution, are you communicating to
- 17 the readers that there is a resolution that was adopted
- 18 by the Board?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Of which this is a true copy?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 0 Where is that resolution?
- 23 A There is not one resolution. There are
- 24 multiple copies of it. I was at the meeting. I was --
- I presented the resolution. I know what the resolution

- 1 says. I can testify to that.
- 2 Q I understand your statement about copies, but
- 3 is there an original resolution adopted by the Board?
- 4 A I don't understand what you mean by original.
- 5 Q When is the first date to your knowledge that
- a true copy is dated at the bottom just above your
- 7 signature?
- 8 A I don't understand your question.
- 9 Q I'll try it again. Hultman-32 with your
- signature has a date of 20th day of December, 2002, and
- there you are certifying that even though this is dated
- December 20, 2002 it is a true copy of a resolution
- adopted some four and-a-half years earlier, correct?
- 14 A That's correct.
- 15 Q When is the earliest date that you recall
- 16 starting to certify true copies?
- 17 A I don't have any recollection.
- 18 Q How many had you certified up to this point,
- 19 do you recall; any idea?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Do you keep a record of these certifications?
- 22 A There may be copies in the files of the
- 23 member, but I would have to go look.
- 24 Q And in the files of the members are you
- 25 talking about MERS members -- MERS copy of the files?

- 1 A We have member files.
- 2 O MERS does?
- 3 A Yes. Correspondence with our members, we have
- 4 copies of correspondence between us.
- 5 Q Is there any central registry of these
- 6 certificates saying this is a true copy of the
- 7 resolution?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q My colleague has just pointed out to me from
- 10 the MERS bylaws Hultman Exhibit 17 Section 8 on MERS 416
- 11 under the heading vice-president talks about "in the
- 12 absence of the chief executive officer the
- vice-president, if any, or in the event there be more
- 14 than one vice-president, the vice-presidents in their
- order designated or in the absence of any designation
- then in the order of their election shall perform the
- 17 duties of the chief executive officer". My question is
- is there a list of the vice-presidents of MERS giving a
- designated order of the type referenced in Section 8 of
- the bylaws?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Are the vice-presidents of MERS elected?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Were you elected?
- 25 A I'm not a vice-president, but I am an elected

- 1 secretary-treasurer.
- 2 Q And you were elected by the Board, is that
- 3 correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Was Mr. Hallinan elected by the Board?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q When was he elected by the Board?
- 8 MR. BROCHIN: Objection.
- 9 A Whatever the date of that resolution, sometime
- 10 October of 2007.
- 11 Q Do I understand then that the Board got
- 12 together and voted to elect Mr. Hallinan a
- vice-president of MERS?
- MR. BROCHIN: Objection. Asked and answered.
- MR. MALONE: No, that question definitely has
- 16 not been asked.
- 17 MR. BROCHIN: It's definitely been asked and
- it definitely has been answered.
- 19 A By virtue of the fact that the Board delegated
- to me the authority to appoint these officers, that's an
- 21 action of the Board and of the corporation, so yes.
- 22 Q My question specifically was did the Board get
- 23 together and vote? Did they elect Mr. Hallinan?
- 24 Election is a vote. Was there --
- 25 A I disagree.

- Now, the resolution that you attached to your certificate that you certified to this, a certificate saying that the foregoing is a true copy of a
- 4 resolution, that resolution talked about the appointment
- 5 -- that the secretary of the corporation is authorized
- 6 to review and approve the nominations of members of
- 7 their respective certifying officers without a prior
- 8 approval of the Board of Directors. The secretary shall
- 9 be authorized to sign a Corporate Resolution
- 10 substantially similar to the one attached hereto. So I
- 11 will ask you to turn to the page, the second page of
- 12 Hultman-32. That Corporate Resolution, is that second
- page of the Corporate Resolution substantially similar
- 14 to the one attached hereto referred to on the first
- 15 page?
- 16 A I don't understand your question.
- 17 Q Attached to the second page of Hultman-32 is
- 18 captioned Corporate Resolution, correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q It's a blank unsigned document, correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q In its opening paragraph on the first page of
- 23 the Corporate Resolution there is a sentence that says
- the secretary shall be authorized to sign a Corporate
- 25 Resolution substantially similar to the one attached

- 1 hereto. My question is is the second page of the
- 2 exhibit the Corporate Resolution referred to on the
- 3 first page?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And would you read into the record, please,
- 6 the opening lines of the Corporate Resolution, the form
- of Corporate Resolution, attached as the second page to
- 8 Hultman-32.
- 9 A Be it resolved that blank be an employee of
- 10 blank, the member, a member of Mortgage Electronic
- 11 Registration Systems, Inc., MERS, is hereby appointed as
- 12 certifying officer of MERS and such is authorized to,
- 13 and then it enumerates actions.
- 14 Q And then the introductory paragraph, does the
- introductory paragraph apply to appointing employees of
- 16 members?
- 17 A That's what it says.
- 18 Q Does it say anything about appointing
- 19 non-employees of members?
- 20 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form.
- 21 A That form does not.
- 22 0 Is there a later form that does talk about
- appointing non-employees of members?
- 24 A This is the form we use in association with
- 25 the authority signing agreements.

- 1 Q My question is is there a later form Corporate
- 2 Resolution that has language saying be it resolved that
- 3 blank being a non-employee of a member, something to
- 4 that effect?
- 5 A I don't believe that there is one that says
- 6 that language.
- 7 Q Is there one that says something comparable to
- 8 that to your knowledge?
- 9 A Yeah, the one that we're talking about that is
- 10 dated October 20 something 2007.
- 11 0 But that's not the blank resolution that the
- 12 Board passed. I'm asking if there's something that the
- 13 Board said Hultman, you as the secretary are authorized
- 14 to sign a corporate resolution substantially similar to
- 15 the one attached hereto?
- 16 A The one that I'm referring to is substantially
- 17 similar to it.
- 18 Q When you say the one -- the one you approved,
- this is the one appointing the members of Phelan,
- 20 Hallinan & Schmieg, you say that is substantially
- 21 similar to the second page of Hultman Exhibit 32, is
- that your judgment or is there some legal opinion of
- corporate counsel either in house or outside counsel
- that the resolution appointing members of Phelan,
- 25 Hallinan & Schmieg is substantially similar to the form

- 1 resolution attached as the second page to Hultman 32?
- 2 MR. BROCHIN: Let me object to the extent that
- 3 that question calls for you to divulge attorney/client
- 4 communications, so if you are aware of an opinion of
- 5 counsel that has been referred to you, you should not
- 6 answer that question.
- 7 MR. MALONE: And I'm going to object to your
- 8 objection. The question just calls for a yes or no,
- 9 doesn't get into the substance. So I'm going to ask
- that the witness answer it. If you were to assert it's
- in the privelege log, I'm going to ask the basis for it,
- who were the parties to the communication, what was the
- 13 subject matter of the communication, otherwise, I don't
- think your objection is well-founded.
- MR. BROCHIN: I don't know what you're talking
- about quite frankly, but I don't want this witness to
- disclose inadvertently communications he has had with
- 18 counsel and my instruction and objection is simply for
- 19 him not to do so.
- 20 MR. MALONE: And I don't want him to.
- 21 MR. BROCHIN: I don't know what you're talking
- 22 about on a privelege log. You asked a question that
- 23 potentially called for him to disclose communications
- 24 with counsel and I am just advising the witness that he
- 25 should not disclose such communications.

- 1 MR. MALONE: I'm just trying to find out
- 2 whether such communications exist without getting into
- 3 the content.
- 4 MR. BROCHIN: That's not what your question
- 5 was.
- 6 BY MR. MALONE:
- 7 Q Are there any -- without going into the
- 8 substance of the communications, to your knowledge are
- 9 there any communications with in-house MERS corporate
- 10 counsel raising the question whether the Corporate
- 11 Resolution appointing members of the Phelan, Hallinan &
- 12 Schmieg firm is substantially similar to the Corporate
- 13 Resolution, the blank Corporate Resolution attached
- hereto as the second page to Hultman Exhibit 32?
- 15 A There were discussions between me as corporate
- 16 secretary and members of the law department regarding
- 17 the signing authority and the accompanying resolution
- 18 that was used with it.
- 19 Q And when were those discussions?
- 20 A Around the time that that concept was
- introduced to our process.
- 22 Q Let me ask the question just to finalize that
- you're going to assert a privelege, would you relate the
- substance of these discussions, please, and don't answer
- until your counsel instructs you otherwise.

Page 121

- 1 MR. BROCHIN: Why are you asking him a
- 2 question that calls for confidential information?
- 3 MR. MALONE: I just want to establish you're
- 4 going to assert a privelege to it, that's all.
- 5 MR. BROCHIN: A privelege to a communication
- 6 between a witnesses and his lawyer?
- 7 MR. MALONE: Well, were there other people
- 8 present?
- 9 MR. BROCHIN: Isn't that a privelege?
- MR. MALONE: Were there other people present?
- If you want to assert a privelege, please do so,
- 12 otherwise answer the question.
- MR. BROCHIN: That wasn't your question. I
- instruct the witness not to answer the question on
- 15 grounds it calls for atttorney/client privelege
- 16 communications.
- 17 BY MR. MALONE:
- 18 Q On the attachment, the second page to Hultman
- 19 Exhibit 32, the Corporate Resolution, the form Corporate
- 20 Resolution, has five numbered paragraphs. Do you see
- 21 those?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Do any of those numbered paragraphs authorize
- the certifying officers to assign a promissory note?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q Has MERS to your knowledge ever authorized a
- 2 certifying officer to assign a promissory note?
- 3 A I don't recall.
- 4 Q In paragraph two there is a reference to
- 5 assigning the lien of any mortgage loan registered on
- 6 the MERS system. Do you see that?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And by lien are we talking about the security
- 9 interest, the mortgage, that accompanies a promissory
- 10 note?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And when a mortgage is recorded on the MERS
- 13 system identifying MERS as the mortgagee as nominee for
- its lender, what is the value of that mortgage in terms
- of what MERS holds?
- MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 17 question to the extent it characterizes MERS as being
- 18 recorded. Go ahead.
- 19 A I don't understand the question.
- 20 Q When a mortgage is recorded with a county
- 21 clerk's office identifying MERS as the mortgagee, what
- is the value of that mortgage to MERS? Does it have a
- 23 value?
- 24 A It's part of our process.
- 25 Q I understand it's part of your process, but

- let's take this case, for example, a promissory note was
- 2 executed on July 29, 2005 in the amount of \$224,000, and
- 3 a mortgage giving a security interest to MERS as nominee
- 4 for an identified lender was also executed. The
- 5 mortgage was recorded with the county clerk's office.
- 6 What is the value of that mortgage to MERS when it's
- 7 recorded?
- 8 MR. BROCHIN: I object to the extent that I
- 9 don't understand. It's vague and ambiguous.
- 10 A I don't understand what you mean by value.
- 11 Q Well, does it have some value to MERS that
- 12 MERS can sell it for?
- 13 A If you mean can we sell the mortgage and
- 14 receive consideration or monetary value, no.
- Does MERS report the mortgage as an asset?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Does MERS pay any taxes on the mortgage?
- 18 A Well, there are recording taxes paid in
- 19 certain jurisdictions by the borrower.
- 20 Q Other than those recording taxes, does MERS
- 21 pay any taxes on it as if it were a property asset?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q When a certifying officer assigns a mortgage,
- does MERS receive any money?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q When a certifying officer assigns a mortgage
- and this is where MERS is the mortgagee of record, does
- 3 the certifying officer request permission of MERS before
- 4 assigning the mortgage interest?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q When the certifying officer assigns a mortgage
- 7 in MERS name, where MERS is the mortgagee, does the
- 8 certifying officer provide a copy of the assignment to
- 9 MERS?
- 10 A Only to the extent that they are an officer of
- 11 MERS. If they have a copy and they retain it for their
- own files and those are deemed records of MERS, that
- would be the only way. They don't send it to the
- 14 corporate office.
- 15 Q Do I follow you that in your view the records
- of Phelan, Hallinan & Schmieg, the law firm, if they
- 17 execute an assignment as MERS officers, that those
- 18 records, records relating to that assignment, are MERS
- 19 records?
- 20 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 21 question to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.
- 22 A I didn't say that.
- Q What did you say?
- 24 A I said that if the certifying officer had a
- copy of the assignment in his or her possession, I

- 1 suppose somebody could deem those to be a record of
- 2 MERS.
- Q Does MERS deem -- I'm sorry, go ahead.
- 4 A I was done.
- 5 O Does MERS deem the law firm's records to be
- 6 MERS records?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q And just for clarification, if I understood
- 9 you correctly, the law firm does not forward a copy of
- 10 the assignment to MERS?
- 11 A Not in the ordinary course. If we requested
- it for some reason, they would forward it to us.
- 13 Q Do the MERS officers from the Phelan, Hallinan
- 14 & Schmieg firm receive compensation, a remuneration or
- 15 consideration of any kind for performing duties on
- 16 behalf of MERS?
- 17 A I don't understand your question.
- 18 Q Well, are they performing a service, the
- 19 lawyers from Phelan, Hallinan & Schmieg when they act as
- 20 certifying officers of MERS; are they performing a
- 21 service of MERS?
- 22 A Are they providing a service to MERS, is that
- 23 your question?
- Q Yes.
- 25 A They are carrying out the authority granted to

- 1 them under the Corporate Resolution.
- 2 Q And is that authority to do something on
- 3 behalf of MERS?
- 4 A To the extent that the service or investor has
- 5 asked them to assign an instrument taking that security
- 6 interest out of legal title from MERS to someone else,
- 7 that's what they are doing.
- 8 Q And is that something done on behalf of MERS?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Do they receive any consideration,
- 11 remuneration, salary, benefits, bonuses, anything of
- value from MERS for performing that service for MERS?
- 13 A No.
- MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 15 question.
- 16 Q Does MERS itself track assignments of its
- interests in mortgages by the certifying officers?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q In what way do you track those assignments?
- 20 A If a mortgage is being assigned out of title
- 21 where MERS is the title holder, there is a requirement
- 22 under our rules and procedures that the MERS system be
- 23 updated to reflect that that mortgage has been assigned
- 24 out of MERS.
- 25 Q I understand there is a requirement in your

- 1 procedures, but that's an updating done by the member,
- 2 is that correct?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q My question is does MERS itself do anything to
- 5 track these assignments?
- 6 A That's how we track the assignments.
- 7 Q You're allowing the members to do it, correct?
- 8 A We rely on the members updating the system to
- 9 tell us. That's how members tell us what they've done.
- 10 Q And if the member does not update the system,
- then you have no knowledge, is that correct?
- 12 A We would not have knowledge through the
- 13 system, that's correct.
- Q Do you do any parallel, do you maintain any
- kind of parallel system to check on the accuracy or
- 16 completeness of what the members report?
- 17 A No, other than our auditing process and
- 18 quality assurance procedures.
- 19 Q Do you have any idea of the value of mortgages
- 20 as security interest assigned by MERS officers in a
- given year in New Jersey?
- 22 A I do not have that information.
- 23 O Is that information available to MERS?
- 24 A MERS only keeps the original principal
- 25 balance, so I would not have any knowledge or be able to

- determine what the current balance was at the time of
- 2 the assignment.
- 3 Q Going back a while ago to the officers that
- 4 you have appointed over the years, is there a listing of
- 5 the officers you've appointed?
- 6 A There is a data base of them.
- 7 Q And you indicated earlier you weren't sure how
- 8 many you appointed. When did this data base begin?
- 9 A Probably 1997.
- 10 Q Before the April 9, 1998 Board meeting?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And is it still maintained, the data base of
- officers who have been appointed by you?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And approximately how many officers have been
- appointed by you since 1997?
- 17 MR. BROCHIN: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 18 A I don't know.
- 19 Q I'm going to ask you to provide the listing of
- the data base for the MERS officers appointed by you.
- Does the Board do anything to oversee the
- appointment process, that is, do they ask you to later
- report to them who you have appointed, what their
- 24 qualifications are, whether they are performing
- 25 satisfactorily; is there any Board oversight in any way

- of your appointing of the MERS assistant vice-presidents
- 2 -- I'm sorry, assistant secretaries and vice-presidents?
- B A Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q And explain the process by which the Board exercises oversight over your appointing those officers.
 - A The Board of MERS is responsible for the actions of the officers, the corporate officers who they have delegated the authority to manage those people, so in the sense that they know what we're doing. Then if they have lack of confidence or they find something that they think is inappropriate or hasn't been done right, they would take the appropriate actions to fix it.
- Now, could I ask you to turn again a moment to 13 the second page of Hultman-32, the form Corporate 14 15 Resolution that's attached to the first page of The second page of Hultman-32 mentions a 16 Hultman-32. 17 resolution that certain, an unidentified person, is an employee of a member and appointed as certifying 18 19 officers. I would like you to turn to the first page of 20 Exhibit 4, a two-page document marked MERS 5 and 6, the 21 corporate resolution at issue in the current litigation. 22 Does that resolution Exhibit 4 in any way reference that 23 Phelan, Hallinan & Schmieg, the attorneys, are employees 24 of a MERS member?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q And this resolution is limited to two areas,
- 2 correct, whereas the form resolution attached to Hultman
- 3 Exhibit 32 has five areas, is that correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And can you tell us why the resolution
- 6 appointing Phelan, Hallinan & Schmieg was limited to
- 7 just two areas assigning the lien and releasing the
- 8 lien?
- 9 A Because that's what Countrywide asked us to
- 10 do.
- 11 Q And when Countrywide asked you to do that, is
- that in this e-mail you mentioned earlier or is it in
- some separate communication?
- 14 A It's part of the signing agreement.
- 15 Q Part of the signing agreement. And when you
- 16 mention signing agreement, are you referring to Hultman
- 17 Exhibit 3, the agreement for signing authority?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Can you tell us where in Hultman Exhibit 3
- there is language limiting the authorization to act as a
- 21 certifying officer to just assigning the lien and
- releasing the lien as opposed to the five areas, five
- numbered paragraphs in the second page of Hultman
- 24 Exhibit 32?
- 25 A Because this is attached to the signing

- agreement, so Exhibit 4 is the exhibit that's referenced in paragraph one of Exhibit 3.
- Q I understand. Now, let me try the question
- 4 again. Exhibit 4 authorizes Phelan, Hallinan & Schmieg
- 5 attorneys to do two things, assign a lien and release a
- 6 lien whereas the certification attached to what is
- 7 represented to be a resolution of the Board of April 9,
- 8 1998 has five numbered paragraphs; in addition to
- 9 releasing a lien and assigning a lien, paragraph three
- 10 authorized executing documents, paragraph four
- 11 authorized taking any and all actions and executing all
- documents, etcetera, and paragraph five calls for taking
- 13 such actions and executing such documents that may be
- 14 necessary to fulfill the member's servicing obligations.
- 15 Those latter three paragraphs on the second page of
- Hultman Exhibit 32 are missing. They have been excluded
- from Hultman Exhibit 4, and my question is why have they
- 18 been excluded?
- 19 MR. BROCHIN: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 20 A Because that's all that Countrywide asked us
- 21 the authority to give to them.
- MR. BROCHIN: Excuse me for one minute. We
- just got a note that this is going to turn off in ten
- 24 minutes so I need to make a call to get it extended.
- 25 (Off the record)

- MR. MALONE: We're back on.
- 2 BY MR. MALONE:
- 3 Q Mr. Hultman, from April, 1998 to the present
- 4 have you appointed all of the vice-presidents and all
- 5 the secretaries of MERS or has someone else also
- 6 exercised that appointment authority?
- 7 A I can't recall an instance where it wasn't me.
- 8 Q Have the bylaws at any point been amended to
- 9 provide for appointments of vice-presidents by the
- 10 secretary, by you?
- 11 A The bylaws that were in effect in January,
- 12 1999 have not been amended.
- 13 Q And the bylaws that were in effect in April of
- 14 1998, were they ever amended to your knowledge?
- 15 A I don't believe so.
- 16 Q And have the bylaws ever been amended, either
- set of those bylaws, been amended to provide for
- appointment of assistant secretaries by you?
- 19 A As I said, neither set was amended to my
- 20 knowledge.
- 21 Q To your knowledge has anyone else used your
- authority to appoint certifying officers under the
- 23 resolution of April, 1998?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q Prior to April, 1998 was there a meaning to

- 1 the term certifying officer that was commonly used in
- 2 MERS?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q What was that meaning?
- 5 A It was the same meaning.
- 6 Q And the same meaning as what, I'm sorry? What
- 7 was the meaning?
- 8 A Again, the certifying officers are those
- 9 officers that the members have requested that we elect
- officers of MERS, and prior to the meeting at which the
- delegated authority of the resolution was passed there
- had been other resolutions passed by the Board
- 13 authorizing certifying officers.
- 14 Q And did all the certifying officers have
- 15 basically the same scope of authority as set forth in
- the second page of Hultman 32?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Except as limited when a member asks for a
- more limited scope of authority?
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 Q And just going back to Hultman-32, the
- 22 attachment. It talks about an employee of a member, and
- your view is that the resolution appointing a non member
- 24 -- a non-employee of a member is substantially similar
- 25 to the second page of Hultman-32?

- 1 A It would be my opinion that that's within the 2 resolution that was passed by the Board.
- 3 Q And similarly is it your opinion that a
- 4 resolution appointing a non-employee of a non member,
- 5 would that be within the scope of the April 9, 1998
- 6 resolution?
- 7 A So long as it was requested by a member, yes.
- 8 Q Now, does the Board maintain errors and
- 9 omissions insurance for its officers?
- 10 A It retains errors and omissions for the
- officers, the corporate officers, but not the certifying
- 12 officers.
- 13 Q So I understand that in the case of
- Mr. Hallinan, the Board -- the company does not provide
- any insurance coverage for any errors and omissions on
- 16 his part?
- 17 A Our errors and omissions policy that we have
- 18 for our corporations do not cover the certifying
- 19 officers.
- 20 Q And does MERS insure its interest in the
- 21 mortgage, whatever interest that is?
- 22 A I'm not sure I understand your question.
- Q When MERS is named as the mortgagee, as
- 24 nominee for the lender on a MERS mortgage, and that
- 25 mortgage is recorded with a county recording office,

- does MERS get any insurance on whatever interest MERS
- 2 has; does it attempt to insure that interest?
- 3 A We do not purchase insurance.
- 4 Q And does MERS internally for accounting
- 5 purposes place any kind of value on the mortgage that's
- 6 recorded naming MERS as the mortgagee?
- 7 A We do not record a dollar value to the
- 8 mortgages in our financial statements, our books and
- 9 records for accounting purposes.
- 10 Q Did Phelan, Hallinan & Schmieg ever
- 11 communicate to you that they were being sued in
- 12 connection with a foreclosure action where they had
- 13 assigned MERS's interest?
- 14 A Not to me.
- 15 Q Now, you have appointed in this case, in the
- 16 Ukpe case, Mr. Hallinan to act as a MERS certifying
- officer in assigning MERS's interest in the lien, the
- 18 mortgage lien, is that correct?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Turning to Hultman Exhibit 4, if you could,
- 21 please, the Corporate Resolution. What is being done in
- 22 that first sub paragraph saying assign the lien?
- 23 A The servicer has requested MERS to assign the
- lien and the MERS certifying officer executed that
- 25 assignment instrument.

- 1 Q I'm sorry, when did the servicer request MERS
- 2 to assign the lien?
- 3 A Sometime prior to the assignment.
- 4 Q And is there a request that goes from the
- 5 servicer to MERS requesting MERS to release the lien?
- 6 A They requested it from the certifying officer.
- 7 Q Did they request it of MERS directly?
- 8 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 9 question.
- 10 A The MERS certifying officer is an officer of
- 11 MERS.
- 12 0 I understand.
- 13 A So that's a request to MERS.
- 14 Q In your corporate headquarters down in Reston,
- 15 Virginia do you receive notification that the MERS
- member has requested the release of the lien?
- 17 A Are we talking about the release or
- 18 assignment?
- 19 Q The assignment of the lien, I'm sorry, talking
- 20 about the assignment. Do you receive --
- 21 A We do not receive any information prior to the
- 22 assignment. Post assignment there is a requirement to
- 23 update the MERS system by the servicer to reflect that
- that servicer has requested the assignment out of MERS
- 25 to some other party.

- 1 Q Turning to Hultman Exhibit 21, which is marked
- 2 MERS/Upte --
- 3 A What is that?
- 4 Q It's marked MERS/Upte 007 through 009 and it
- 5 is a letter dated March 17, 2010 from Abigail Sullivan
- on the letterhead of South Jersey Legal Services.
- 7 A I don't think I have that.
- 8 MR. BROCHIN: Here it is.
- 9 Q It has been premarked now as Hultman Exhibit
- 10 21.
- 11 A I have it now.
- 12 Q Okay. Have you seen that before today?
- 13 A I have.
- 14 Q And did you review it in preparation for your
- 15 testimony today?
- 16 A I did.
- 17 Q And paragraph one asks for testimony on the
- 18 subject matter of MERS ownership of or interest in the
- 19 promissory note that is the subject of the Ukpe
- 20 foreclosure case. With regard to paragraph one would
- 21 you tell us what MERS ownership is of the promissory
- 22 note?
- 23 A MERS holds title to the security interest
- securing the payment of the note held by at this point
- 25 Bank of New York.

- 1 Q And what you just described is the mortgage,
- 2 is that correct?
- 3 A I'm describing the relationship to what MERS
- 4 does with respect to the entire transaction.
- 5 Q So that answer, are you saying MERS has an
- 6 ownership interest in the promissory note?
- 7 A I'm describing what our interest is in this
- 8 transaction.
- 9 Q Let me break the question down then. Does
- 10 MERS have an ownership interest in the promissory note
- 11 that the Ukpes signed?
- 12 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 13 question.
- 14 A If you mean ownership interest in the sense
- that are we entitled to any of the proceeds of the
- promissory note, the answer is no.
- 17 Q And in describing any other kind of interest
- 18 you have in the promissory note, I think you were
- 19 answering that in your view MERS does have an interest
- in the Ukpes' promissory note, is that correct?
- 21 A What I'm saying is we have -- we are the
- agents of the note holder holding title to the mortgage,
- securing the repayment of the promissory note when the
- 24 borrower pledges the property to them.
- Q When you're saying the agent of the note

- 1 holder, as of March, 2008 who held the note?
- 2 A I don't know. I would have to look.
- 3 Q How do you know you're the agent of the note
- 4 holder as of March 13, 2008 if you don't know who the
- 5 holder is?
- 6 A Because the terms of the mortgage provide
- 7 that.
- 8 Q The terms of the mortgage provide that you're
- 9 going to be the mortgagee of record as nominee for the
- 10 lender, correct?
- 11 A And the lender's successor it assigns. So
- 12 whenever the note transfers to whoever transfers, we
- become the new agent of the new note holder.
- 14 Q So if I understand you, if the note is
- assigned from A to B to C to D, you automatically under
- the terms of the agreement become D's agent?
- 17 A The borrower when he executes the mortgage has
- granted us in conveyance of the property in which we
- 19 hold title to the security interest as agent for the
- 20 benefit of the note holder and note holder successor it
- assigns, so when they endorse and deliver the note to
- their subsequent purchaser, then we become their nominee
- or agent. It is a synonymous term.
- 24 Q Is there an agreement between MERS and the
- 25 successor in this case that you can continue to operate

- 1 as their agent?
- 2 A There's two agreements. There's the
- 3 membership agreement between us and our members and
- 4 there's the mortgage itself.
- 5 Q So there is a membership agreement between
- 6 MERS and Bank of New York addressing this question?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q We would like that membership agreement. We
- 9 have not been provided it, but if I understand you, that
- 10 membership agreement authorizes MERS to continue to act
- as the agent after the note is assigned up to the
- 12 trustee for the securitized trust?
- 13 MR. BROCHIN: I think you have the application
- 14 for BONY.
- MR. MALONE: I have an application, but I
- don't have a membership agreement.
- 17 A The application incorporates by reference and
- when they sign it, they are automatically agreeing to be
- 19 a member and that's part of the whole -- all those
- 20 governing documents become the membership agreement
- 21 between MERS and the lenders and servicers.
- 22 Q So if I understand you correctly, that
- 23 membership agreement of which Bank of New York is a
- 24 member authorizes MERS to continue to act as an agent
- 25 any time a MERS mortgage is assigned to Bank of New

1 York?

11

and its members.

- 2 A I wouldn't agree with that characterization.
- 3 Q I'm just trying to get the best -- what
- 4 characterization would you say is accurate?
- 5 A What I said is MERS remains the mortgagee even 6 though the note has been transferred from the original 7 lender to subsequent purchasers, and each time that note 8 moves by endorsement and delivery we become the agent of 9 the new purchaser, and that's by virtue of the mortgage 10 and by virtue of the membership agreements between MERS
- Q So in this case do you know when the Ukpes'
 mortgage loan -- mortgage and note were passed on to the
 Bank of New York?
- 15 A I do not know when the note was sold to the 16 Bank of New York.
- 17 Q There's a Pooling and Servicing Agreement
 18 dated September 27, 2005 by which there have been
 19 pleadings submitted in the case saying that the trust
 20 took possession of the note and mortgage as of
 21 September, 2005. Is it your view that if that happened,
 22 that you continued as the agent for Bank of New York?
- MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 24 question to the extent it calls for speculation.
- 25 A If consideration was paid by the Bank of New

- 1 York to the seller, then we would then represent the
- 2 Bank of New York as mortgagee.
- 3 Q And is there any need at the time, if the note
- 4 and mortgage went up to the Bank of New York as part of
- 5 a securitized trust, is there any need to change the
- 6 recorded records with the county clerk; is there any
- 7 need to reflect those transfers or can MERS keep its
- 8 place as recorded title holder?
- 9 A There's no change in the mortgagee so there's
- no need to update the county land records.
- 11 Q When is there a need to update the county land
- 12 records?
- 13 A If the loan is not modified or assigned or if
- 14 it's foreclosed out, in those events, those are typical
- 15 events where the land records will be updated.
- 16 Q You say modified or assigned. In this case
- are you aware that your MERS certifying officer created
- an assignment assigning both the Ukpes' note and
- 19 mortgage to the plaintiff in this case?
- 20 A I am aware that that's what the assignment
- 21 instrument says.
- 22 Q And are you aware that the assignment is to a
- 23 MERS member?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And why did there need to be an assignment to

- a MERS member on or about March 14, 2008?
- 2 A I don't know.
- 3 Q Is there anything in the MERS terms or
- 4 conditions that required the law firm to create an
- 5 assignment assigning to a MERS member on March 14, 2008?
- 6 A I don't know why they did that.
- 7 Q Turning to Hultman Exhibit 22 marked
- 8 MERS/Ukpe-11. It is a two-page exhibit. At the top it
- 9 says Referral Account Detail Report. Do you have that
- 10 document?
- 11 A I do.
- 12 Q Have you ever seen this particular document
- 13 prior to today?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q When did you see this particular document?
- 16 A Yesterday.
- 17 Q And other than seeing this document yesterday
- 18 have you seen similar Referral Account Detail Reports
- 19 prior to yesterday?
- 20 A Are you talking about with respect to this
- loan or in general?
- 22 Q In general.
- 23 A I may have seen one or two before, but not
- 24 related to this loan.
- 25 Q And if you know, what is the function of a

- 1 Referral Account Detail Report in the foreclosure
- 2 process?
- 3 A I don't know what the purpose is.
- 4 Q Inviting your attention to the second page of
- 5 the exhibit which is bates stamped MERS/Ukpe 011 and
- 6 also bears a bates stamp of PHS11, on the left-hand side
- 7 about two-thirds of the way down there is a heading
- 8 foreclosures in the name of -- do you see that?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And it gives the name of the plaintiff in this
- 11 case and then says and/or MERS as appropriate. Do you
- 12 see that?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Do you have any idea why this document gives
- instructions to foreclose in the name of either/or; what
- turned out to be the plaintiff and/or MERS; in other
- words, why is MERS being named as an alternative
- 18 plaintiff?
- 19 A I don't know.
- 20 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 21 question.
- 22 Q Were you aware that instructions were being
- 23 given to the Phelan, Hallinan & Schmieg firm to name
- 24 MERS as a possible plaintiff?
- 25 A I don't know what was instructed to the Phelan

- 1 law firm.
- 2 Q If the servicer gave instructions to the law
- 3 firm to bring a foreclosure action in MERS name, would
- 4 that be consistent with MERS terms and conditions or in
- 5 violation of MERS terms and conditions?
- 6 A So long as it wasn't in the State of Florida.
- 7 Investors or servicers are the ones who make the
- 8 election to decide whether to foreclose in the name of
- 9 MERS or in the name of some other party and if they
- 10 chose to do it in the name of MERS, they just need to
- 11 follow our rules and procedures.
- 12 Q And what are those rules and procedures in New
- Jersey if one is to foreclose in the name of MERS?
- 14 A Those are enumerated in the procedures and the
- rules that we publish on our web site. I didn't
- 16 memorize them and I don't know what the specific
- 17 differences are between the states.
- 18 Q Turning to Hultman Exhibit 23, two-page
- document bates stamped MERS/Ukpe 012 and MERS/Ukpe 013,
- also bates stamped PHS475 through 476. Do you have that
- 21 document?
- 22 A I do.
- 23 Q Have you seen it before today?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Did you see it before yesterday?

1 A No.

- Q Were you aware that Kevin Wolfe associated
 with the judiciary of the State of New Jersey had made a
 request to one of your certifying officers for a true
 copy of the MERS Corporate Resolution documenting the
 expressed authority as assistant secretary and
 vice-president to act for MERS; were you aware of that?
- 8 A Not before yesterday.
- 9 Q I take it then the law firm never notified you 10 of this inquiry?
- 11 A They didn't notify me, no.
- 12 Q Do you know if they notified anyone in MERS?
- 13 A I do not know that.
- 14 Q Turning to the second page of the exhibit,
 15 reflects there has been a redaction of the identity or
- the entities for which Corporate Resolutions are
- 17 provided. There are six lettered paragraphs, A through
- 18 F, and only B appears, Countrywide Financial
- 19 Corporation. Do you see that?
- 20 A Yes.

24

- Q To your knowledge has MERS executed Corporate
 Resolutions authorizing Phelan Hallinan & Schmieg to act
 as MERS officers with respect to other members?

Α

25 O What other members?

Yes.

Page 147

- 1 A I don't know them all.
- Q Which ones do you know?
- 3 A Wells Fargo.
- 4 Q Anyone else?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q So there are four other resolutions and
- 7 certifications by you, correct, appointing Phelan
- 8 Hallinan & Schmieg as corporate officers, but you don't
- 9 recall the names of the MERS member for those four other
- 10 resolutions?
- 11 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 12 question.
- 13 A That's correct.
- 14 Q Towards the top of the exhibit, the second
- page marked MERS/Ukpe 013 there is an entry sent and it
- says Thursday, December 10, 2009. Do you see that?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And the subject is Corporate Resolutions, and
- do you see there's a reference to attachments?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Do you know anything about those attachments?
- 22 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 23 question.
- 24 Q I didn't hear your answer.
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q Do you know where those attachments came from?
- 2 In other words, did they come from MERS if they were
- 3 corporate resolutions or did they come from some other
- 4 source?
- 5 MR. BROCHIN: Are the attachments attached?
- 6 MR. MALONE: No.
- 7 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 8 question.
- 9 A I have no knowledge what those are or where
- 10 they came from.
- 11 BY MR. MALONE:
- 12 Q Turning then to Hultman Exhibit 24, marked in
- the bottom right-hand corner MERS/Ukpe 14 and 15, have
- 14 you seen this document prior to today or yesterday?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Inviting your attention to the first page of
- the exhibit, the third paragraph, do you see the words
- together with the bond, note or other obligation?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Does that indicate to you that not only is
- there an assignment of the mortgage interest, but this
- document purports to assign an interest in the note?
- 23 A It says what it says.
- 24 Q And reading it, does it indicate to you that
- there is an assignment of the note?

- 1 A It says what it says.
- 2 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 3 question to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.
- 4 BY MR. MALONE:
- 5 Q If the Phelan, Hallinan & Schmieg firm
- 6 attempted to assign a promissory note by this
- 7 assignment, would that be a violation of MERS policies
- 8 and procedures?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q As corporate officers of MERS were members of
- 11 the Hallinan firm authorized to assign promissory notes
- 12 then?
- 13 A There is a general catch-all in the resolution
- 14 that says that they can do whatever else they need to do
- in the interest of servicing the loan. There have been
- times when notes have been improperly endorsed to MERS,
- 17 and sometimes the certifying officers have to endorse
- 18 those. There have been occasions when that has
- 19 happened.
- 20 Q Do you know if that happened in this case?
- 21 A I'm not aware of the circumstances here.
- 22 O If there were no mistaken endorsement to MERS
- of the note and the Hallinan firm attempted to assign
- the note, would they be acting within the scope of their
- 25 authority as MERS vice-presidents and assistant

- 1 secretaries?
- 2 MR. BERHEIM: Object to the form of the
- 3 question.
- 4 MR. BROCHIN: I object as well to the extent
- 5 it calls for a legal conclusion.
- 6 BY MR. MALONE:
- 7 Q Answer the question, please.
- 8 A It's not a violation of our rules or
- 9 procedures.
- 10 Q What was MERS interest in the Ukpe promissory
- 11 note as of March 14, 2008?
- 12 A As I answered twice before, I believe, we hold
- 13 the security interest for the benefit of the note holder
- as an agent and to the extent that that's an interest,
- 15 it's an interest.
- 16 Q And that's your answer, that's MERS interest
- in the note?
- 18 A In this particular case, yes.
- 19 Q And what was the value of that interest in the
- 20 Ukpes' note to MERS?
- 21 MR. BROCHIN: Object to the form of the
- 22 question.
- 23 A If you mean is there a monetary value --
- Q Yes.
- 25 A -- there isn't any.

- 1 Q Did you pay -- I'm sorry, finish your answer.
- 2 A I said no.
- 3 Q Did MERS pay anything for whatever interest it
- 4 claims to have in the Ukpes' promissory note?
- 5 A We were granted a security interest in the
- 6 promissory note. That's our interest. We did not
- 7 receive -- we did not pay anything for it. It was
- granted to us by the borrower.
- 9 Q And if I recall your answers earlier, you have
- 10 no entitlement to any payments under the note, is that
- 11 correct?
- 12 A That's correct.
- 13 Q And elsewhere has MERS taken the position that
- 14 it is not in the business of lending money, talking
- 15 about the Nebraska case in particular?
- MR. BROCHIN: Can you have the question
- 17 reread.
- 18 (The stenographer read the pending question)
- 19 MR. BROCHIN: I object to the form of the
- 20 question to the extent it's asking this witness to opine
- on legal positions taken by MERS. If you understand
- the question, you can answer it.
- 23 A MERS to my knowledge did not have a beneficial
- interest in any of the proceeds of the note in question
- 25 here.

- 1 Q The term beneficial interest is one that is
- 2 used in connection with MERS. Could you define what a
- 3 beneficial interest is, please.
- A A person who is entitled to the benefits of
- 5 the proceeds of the note.
- 6 Q And just so if I understand you correctly, is
- 7 it your testimony MERS was owed nothing by the Ukpes on
- 8 the promissory note, MERS was not entitled to any
- 9 payment from the Ukpes?
- 10 A That's my understanding.
- 11 Q In terms of the meaning of beneficial
- 12 interest, does beneficial interest, does it equate to
- the right to proceeds under the note?
- 14 A Among other things.
- 15 Q And in addition to the right to proceeds what
- 16 else is meant by the concept of beneficial interest?
- 17 A Well, they are the ones who have the ultimate
- 18 direction of disposition of an asset.
- 19 Q Does MERS prohibit its members from claiming
- 20 MERS has an ownership interest in promissory notes?
- 21 A What we have said in the foreclosure
- 22 procedures that they would not allege that we were the
- owner of the note and that we are only the holder of the
- 24 note.
- Q Does MERS not only say that, but does MERS

- prohibit its certifying officers from claiming MERS has
- 2 an ownership interest in the note?
- A I don't know that we have any expressed prohibition, but implicit in many of our rules and procedures that notion is there.
- Q Are you aware of any provision in MERS
 documents threatening to impose fines if a member claims
 MERS has an ownership interest in a promissory note?
 - A It can. In conjunction with foreclosures if they allege in a complaint that MERS is the owner of a note, that could open them up to sanctions, monetary sanctions, under one of our rules.
- 13 Q When you used the word holder in your answer a
 14 few moments ago, what do you mean by the use of the
 15 phrase holder?
 - A The party who is in possession of the note.
- Q So you're just talking about pure physical possession, not an ownership interest, is that correct?
- 19 A Whether it's characterized ownership interest 20 or not, I didn't say. What I said was the holder is the 21 person who is in physical possession of the note.
- Q When MERS is the holder, does MERS claim any beneficial interest in the note?
- 24 A No.

9

10

11

12

16

25 Q Turn to the next Hultman Exhibit 25, bates

- 1 stamped MERS/Ukpe 16 through 25. Do you have that
- 2 document?
- 3 A I do.
- 4 Q I just ask you to read the first count,
- 5 paragraph number one, to yourself, please.
- 6 A Okay.
- 7 O There is a reference to America's Wholesale
- 8 Lender. Is America's Wholesale Lender a MERS member?
- 9 A The party that that is a d/b/a for is a MERS
- 10 member.
- 11 Q Can you tell us how you were aware that
- 12 America's Wholesale Lender is a d/b/a for a MERS member?
- 13 A Countrywide has told me that.
- 14 Q And the MERS member in this case, could you
- identify who the MERS member is?
- 16 A Countrywide Home Loans.
- 17 Q And paragraph two, I would ask you to read
- 18 that to yourself.
- 19 A Okay.
- 20 Q Does it reflect that to secure the payment of
- 21 the obligation, the note referenced in paragraph one,
- that the borrowers, the Ukpes, executed to MERS as
- 23 nominee for America's Wholesale Lender a purchase money
- 24 mortgage of even date with said note and conveyed it?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q Would you summarize for us what --
- 2 A Well, it says what it says, but you only read
- 3 part of the sentence and dropped out at successors and
- 4 assigns.
- 5 Q Okay. Does it provide that MERS was made the
- 6 -- that the mortgage instrument, the security interest,
- 7 was executed to MERS as nominee for America's Wholesale
- 8 Lender?
- 9 A That's what it says.
- 10 Q And then it continues, successors and assigns.
- 11 And then turning to paragraph four, does it say that the
- 12 holder of the obligation and its mortgage assigned them
- as follows, and reciting in sub paragraph A, reflecting
- that the assignment is by Mortgage Electronic
- 15 Registration Systems?
- MR. BROCHIN: Are you asking him a question?
- 17 MR. MALONE: I'm inviting his attention to
- that. Does he see that language in paragraph 4 and 4A.
- 19 A I have read paragraph 4 and 4A.
- 20 Q Now, in terms of the introductory sentence to
- 21 paragraph four, was MERS ever the holder of the
- obligation, that is, the note?
- 23 A I'm not aware of any time that it was the
- 24 holder.
- 25 Q Turning to Exhibit 26, Hultman Exhibit 26,

- 1 bates stamp MERS/Ukpe 026, reflects it is a policy
- 2 bulletin dated February 17, 2010. Are you familiar with
- 3 the subject of this policy bulletin?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And can you explain to us what brought about
- 6 the policy bulletin.
- 7 A Our desire to improve the process.
- 8 Q And were there particular areas in your view,
- 9 in MERS view, that needed improvement in terms of the
- 10 process?
- 11 A Well, we're always trying to continually
- 12 improve our process.
- 13 Q Turning to Hultman Exhibit 27, MERS/Ukpe 27.
- 14 A Okay.
- O Can you explain to us what this is?
- 16 A This is a milestone report for the subject
- 17 loan generated by the MERS system.
- 18 Q Could I ask you to turn back to Hultman
- 19 Exhibit 1, please, bates stamped MERS 1. Hultman
- 20 Exhibit 1 is a MIN summary and not a milestone report.
- 21 Can you tell us what information is tracked on the
- summary as opposed to what information is tracked on the
- 23 milestone report?
- 24 A The MIN summary is the current status of the
- loan on the MERS system and the milestones are certain

- 1 historical events.
- 2 Q Now, if a milestone report is to be accurate,
- 3 are the members required to record in the MERS system
- 4 every time there is a transfer of beneficial rights?
- 5 A That's part of our procedures, yes.
- 6 Q Now, if in fact there is a securitized trust
- 7 -- do you have some familiarity with the securitization
- 8 process?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And you mentioned earlier the concept of a
- 11 true sale. Every time there is a true sale in the
- 12 context of a securitization does that reflect there has
- been a transfer of beneficial rights?
- 14 A I don't understand what you mean.
- 15 Q Earlier when I asked you about the meaning of
- beneficial rights you indicated it had to do with the
- 17 right to payment, that was an incident of beneficial
- 18 rights. So every time there is a sale and someone else
- 19 has the right to payment, should that sale be reflected
- in the MERS milestone report?
- 21 A Not necessarily.
- 22 O Under what conditions should a sale be
- 23 reflected in the MERS milestone reports and what
- circumstances can a sale be ignored by the member in
- 25 terms of reporting to MERS?

- 1 A In general when there are internal transfers
- 2 within an organization where a member or one of its
- 3 affiliates is represented in the investor field,
- 4 internal transfers between affiliated entities within
- 5 that member's affiliated group would not normally be
- 6 reflected on the system unless they chose to do so, but
- 7 we will not require that, but then when they sell that
- 8 beneficial interest or sell the note to an unaffiliated
- 9 member, at that point they are required to update the
- 10 system, the investor field on the system.
- 11 Q Okay. Turning to Hultman Exhibit 2 and
- Hultman Exhibit 27, do they appear to be in terms of
- 13 content the same milestones report?
- 14 A Yes, because it's the same MIN.
- 15 Q And by the way, the MIN, in this case what is
- the MIN? First off, does MIN stand for Mortgage
- 17 Identification Number?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And is it a unique number assigned to each
- 20 MERS mortgage?
- 21 A Each loan that's registered on the MERS system
- 22 must have a MIN assigned to it, yes.
- Q What is the MIN in this case if you can just
- 24 read it into the record.
- 25 A 11570005618649-3.

- 1 Q And does that -- do the components of that MIN
 2 number mean anything? Do they relate to a geographical
 3 area, MERS member, or is it just a series of random
- The first seven digits is usually the party Α that generated the MIN. Sometimes the second ten 7 numbers can be a loan number or they can be a sequential It's up to the member to decide that generates number. the MIN, what they are. The only requirement that we 9 10 have is that it's not a duplicate of another MIN that's 11 already been registered. And then the final number is a 12 -- it is a check digit, then there's an algebraic formula that creates it based on the prior numbers so 13 that people don't fat finger the numbers. 14
- 15 Q And does this milestone report reflect a 16 registration on August 2, 2005?
- 17 A Yes.

numbers?

- Q And what is being registered; what kind of interest is being registered on August 2, 2005?
- 20 A By register it means that a mortgage, a
 21 secured interest in the form of a mortgage or deed of
 22 trust has been created naming MERS as the mortgagee or
 23 beneficiary and recorded in the land records.
- Q Now, the servicer is indicated to be BAC Home Loans Servicing, L.P. Do you see that?

1 A Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 2 Q Do you know if that organization was even in 3 existent in August of 2005?
- 4 A I believe it was with a different name.
- Q What was the name of the organization that was in existence on August 2, 2005 with a different name?
- 7 A Countrywide Home Loans.
- Q And do you have any explanation how if

 Countrywide Home Loans was the name of the business back

 in August of 2005, how your records get updated to

 reflect a more recent name as opposed to keeping the

 name that was in existence back in August, 2005?
 - A Because the org ID didn't change. When Countrywide changed the name of its organization, we instituted a change to that org ID, the name assigned to it, it's a global change, and goes through a -- back into the records from before that change was effective.
 - Q And the next entry, and there are only two entries on this milestone report, the second entry is dated September 23, 2005, and can you tell us what's occurring there; what is being memorialized in this milestone?
- 23 A It's showing that the investor that was being 24 shown in the system at the time of the original 25 registration on August 2, 2005 has been changed to Bank

- of New York Mellon which was org ID 1579.
- 2 Q And that reflects that it's the old investor
- 3 or BAC Loan Servicing L.P., is that correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And then as of September 23rd there is a
- 6 transfer to a new investor, as you've said, the Bank of
- 7 New York Mellon. Does that mean that the Bank of New
- 8 York is the -- by investor is that meant the Bank of New
- 9 York is the owner of this interest?
- 10 A It's the beneficial owner, yes, generally.
- 11 Q And by beneficial owner, could you explain
- 12 again what is meant by beneficial owner in the context
- of this milestone entry.
- 14 A They are generally the holder of the note or
- 15 the beneficial owner. In this case they are probably
- more carefully characterized as the holder of the note.
- 17 Q And why do you say probably more carefully
- 18 characterized as holder since the reference is to
- 19 transfer of beneficial rights?
- 20 A One of the beneficial rights in the trust are
- 21 the ultimate security holders and again, it's just a
- 22 name of a field on a system that's not a legal system of
- record. It's just to tell us who we're working for.
- 24 Q If there were other transfers prior to and
- subsequent to September 23, 2005 of the interest in the

- note, should they be reflected on the milestone report?
- 2 A In most cases, yes.
- Q Turning to Hultman Exhibit 28 bates stamped

 MERS/Ukpe 28, this is a document, has a caption near the

 top Corporate Resolution Request Form. Do you see that?
- 6 A Yes.
- Q And it's a three-page document bates stamped MERS/Ukpe 28 through MERS/Ukpe 30 and are you familiar with this Corporate Resolution Request Form?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And could you explain to us what its purpose 12 is.
- 13 A It's the way that the members either get their 14 original Corporate Resolution or if they made changes to 15 the officers that they would like to have signing 16 authority for MERS, they would use it to update their 17 existing Corporate Resolution.
- 18 Q And on the -- well, let me just follow that.

 19 If, for example, if I were a MERS member and I had to

 20 appoint an employee as a certifying officer, have MERS

 21 appoint my employee has a certifying officer, I could go

 22 on the web site, put my company name in, address, put it

 23 in the name of the employee, and that would reflect a

 24 request that my employee become an officer?
- 25 A That's correct.

- 1 Q What would happen once MERS received the 2 request?
- A It goes to someone in the law department to
 review and if it's in accordance with our -- they check
 who the member is, make sure it's a valid member, valid
 org ID, make sure it's not someone trying to get a
 Corporate Resolution who is not entitled to one, and if
 everything is in order, it comes to me to sign and then
 it goes back to the member and then we log it into our
- 11 Q In your experience has a member ever made a
 12 request that one of its employees be appointed a MERS
 13 officer; has MERS ever rejected a request?
- 14 A I don't know.
- 15 Q Now, on the second page of the exhibit,
 16 Hultman Exhibit 28 towards the bottom your name appears
 17 in the phrase I, William C. Hultman. Do you see that?
- 18 A Yes.

system.

10

- Q And it reflects that the foregoing is a true copy of a resolution duly adopted by the Board of Directors effective as of a date certain. Are you referring, when you say the foregoing is a true copy of a resolution, are you referring to that same April 9, 1998 resolution we discussed earlier today?
- 25 A No. I'm referring to the one that I'm signing

- 1 as of that date.
- 2 Q But it says the foregoing is a true copy of a
- 3 resolution duly adopted by the Board of Directors.
- What's duly adopted by the Board of Directors?
- 5 A Again, I can go over it again because I have
- 6 been delegated the authority, when I make the delegation
- 7 and when I sign the resolution, that becomes a
- 8 resolution of the Board as of that date.
- 9 Q So if I went looking for the original of the
- 10 resolution duly adopted by the Board, it would take me
- 11 back to this document, is that correct?
- 12 A If you were to ask me for a copy of the
- 13 resolution, I would give you the copy that was -- on the
- 14 day that I signed it.
- 15 Q And if I were to ask for the original of the
- 16 resolution, what would you give me?
- 17 A I don't understand what you mean by original
- 18 resolution.
- 19 Q Well, this is a true copy. You're certifying
- as to this is a true copy. That would imply there is an
- 21 original some place. So if I asked you to give me the
- original, what would you produce?
- 23 A I will not accept your characterization that
- 24 way.
- 25 Q Then what do you mean by true copy? True copy

- 1 of what?
- 2 A The resolution that I adopted at that date. I
- 3 know what the resolution is. I don't have to look at a
- 4 piece of paper. I know what it is. It's the same for
- 5 everybody at that time frame.
- 6 Q Let's try that with Hultman Exhibit 4, which
- 7 is the Corporate Resolution relating to the Phelan,
- 8 Hallinan & Schmieg firm. What you signed -- I'm sorry,
- 9 I will wait until you have it. You're certifying that
- what has your signature at the bottom, you're certifying
- 11 that it is a true copy of a resolution duly adopted by
- the Board, that the foregoing is a true copy. So I
- understand the word copy and that this document has your
- 14 signature is a true copy. Do I understand that the
- original of this true copy is the same document but with
- original ink or it would say the same thing?
- 17 A The resolution is an intangible. I'm passing
- 18 a resolution and I'm certifying that that's an accurate
- 19 representation of the authority that has been delegated
- 20 to these certifying officers.
- 21 Q You say the resolution is intangible. Does
- that mean there's not a piece of paper, there's not an
- 23 original?
- 24 A It is an agreement that they have this
- 25 authority between MERS and its officers.

- 1 Q When you say the agreement, are you referring
- 2 to the Agreement for Signing Authority, Hultman Exhibit
- 3 3?
- A No, I'm referring to the contract, the
- 5 agreement between the parties, that's what the agreement
- 6 is. They get to assign liens and they get to release
- 7 liens and I am attesting that that's an accurate
- 8 representation of the authority that has been delegated
- 9 to them by me pursuant to the authority of the Board of
- 10 Directors.
- 11 Q I understand, and I'll try to stop it with
- this, but I understand you're saying that the foregoing
- is a true copy of a resolution, so what is said above is
- 14 a copy of a resolution adopted by the Board. If I asked
- you to take me, Mr. Hultman, you've just said this is a
- true copy, take me to the original resolution, is there
- a piece of paper adopted by the Board, original
- 18 resolution?
- 19 A No. I've told you this five times.
- 20 Q Thank you.
- MR. MALONE: For the record, we have marked
- the stipulation as Hultman Exhibit 29. I don't know if
- you have a copy there. We have the original with Dan
- Orr's signature and Abigail Sullivan's signature. We
- would ask other counsel to please sign their copies and

- 1 submit them to us and we will have a conformed copy of
- 2 the stipulation, a completed copy of Exhibit 29 which we
- 3 will then distribute to everyone. I would like to take
- 4 a five-minute break and then wrap up.
- 5 (Off the record)
- 6 MR. MALONE: We're back.
- 7 BY MR. MALONE:
- 8 Q Mr. Hultman, if I could invite your attention
- 9 again to Hultman Exhibit 24. It's the document
- 10 captioned Assignment of Mortgage.
- 11 A Okay.
- 12 Q Towards the top of the second page do you see
- the bold language "I agree to the terms of this
- 14 assignment"?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And following that there is a signature and
- 17 below the signature is the typed name Francis S.
- 18 Hallinan. Do you see that?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And Mr. Hallinan signs as assistant secretary
- 21 and vice-president of Mortgage Electronic Registration
- 22 Systems, Inc. My question is directed to his choice of
- 23 title. Did MERS give any directions as to how their
- certifying officers could sign; in other words, was it
- okay for Mr. Hallinan to identify himself as an

- 1 assistant secretary of MERS on the assignment?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Was it okay for him to identify himself as a
- 4 vice-president of MERS in the assignment?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And does MERS give any direction to its
- 7 certifying officers as to language for use in an
- 8 assignment or is that up to the individual MERS
- 9 certifying officers to choose whatever language they
- 10 feel is appropriate?
- 11 A It's up to the servicer or the investor.
- 12 Q Now, do you have any idea how the name of the
- plaintiff in this case was chosen?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q The assignment document, Hultman Exhibit 4, in
- 16 your judgment does it effectively transfer whatever
- 17 interest MERS had in the mortgage document as of March
- 18 14, 2008?
- 19 A I'm not a New Jersey lawyer, but it looks like
- 20 it purported to do so.
- 21 MR. MALONE: I have no further questions.
- 22 Thank you very much.
- MR. BROCHIN: If I could do a couple
- 24 housecleaning things. This witness does not waive
- reading of the deposition. Second, what I would like to

- do is take a set of the exhibits and documents that the
- 2 witness actually looked at here and send them to the
- 3 court reporter and have that set travel with the
- 4 exhibits to the deposition itself.
- 5 MR. MALONE: If I'm following you, the court
- 6 reporter has the original exhibits. They will be
- 7 included in the transcript. Additionally you want this
- 8 second set included?
- 9 MR. BROCHIN: Yes.
- 10 MR. MALONE: And what I've done with the
- 11 second set, I've just handwritten them as exhibits, the
- only point there is so there's no question, okay.
- 13 MR. BROCHIN: The exhibit he was looking at is
- 14 the same as the exhibit that was marked at the
- 15 deposition. Can we do that?
- MR. MALONE: We're fine with that.
- 17 MR. BROCHIN: Could I request the court
- 18 reporter to take a copy of the exhibits and Federal
- 19 Express them to me tomorrow even before the transcript
- is prepared.
- 21 *******

22

23

24

25

3
CERTIFICATION
STATE OF NEW JERSEY
SS.
COUNTY OF CAMDEN
I, Lisa L. Barth, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey,
do hereby certify that I reported the deposition in the
above-captioned matter; that the said witness was duly
sworn by me; that the reading and signing of the
deposition were waived by said witness and by counsel
for the respective parties; that the foregoing is a true
and correct transcript of the stenographic notes of
testimony taken by me in the above-captioned matter.
I further certify that I am not an attorney or
counsel for any of the parties, nor a relative or
employee of any attorney or counsel connected with the
action, nor financially interested in the action.
LISA L. BARTH, CSR 30X100110100
Dated: April 20, 2010
MICHELLE M. DOWNES, CSR XIO01596
Dated: April 20, 2010